Type II Protein Kinase A Up-regulation Is Sufficient to Induce Growth Inhibition in SK-N-SH Human Neuroblastoma Cells

Se Nyun Kim,^{*,†,‡} Gap Ryol Lee,^{*,†} Eun Seong Hwang,§ Je-Ho Lee,§ Sang Dai Park,^{*} Yoon S. Cho-Chung,‡ and Seung Hwan Hong^{*,†,1}

*Department of Molecular Biology and Research Center for Cell Differentiation and †Institute for Molecular Biology and Genetics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea; ‡Cellular Biochemistry Section, Laboratory of Tumor Immunology and Biology, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892; and §Samsung Biomedical Research Institute, Seoul 135-230, Republic of Korea

Received January 31, 1997

We have previously reported that overexpression of RII β subunit of protein kinase A, which markedly reduces RI α protein, induces growth inhibition in SK-N-SH human neuroblastoma cells. To determine whether the reduction of RI α or protein kinase A isozyme type I is essential in the growth inhibition of SK-N-SH cells, we overexpressed RI α in sense and antisense orientation. Type I protein kinase A activity was increased in the RI α -overexpressing cells and was decreased in the RI α antisense-expressing cells. However, the changes in type I protein kinase A activities did not affect cell growth. Overexpression of RII β or C α increased type II protein kinase A and inhibited cell growth in both cell lines regardless of the type I protein kinase A level. These results indicate that type II protein kinase A is the main effector in the cAMP-mediated growth regulation of SK-N-SH human neuroblastoma cells. © 1997 Academic Press

Protein Kinase A (PKA) is a serine/threonine kinase composed of a regulatory (R) subunit dimer and two catalytic (C) subunits. There are two different regulatory subunits, RI and RII, which bind to common catalytic subunits to form type I and type II PKA holoenzyme, respectively (1). Two types of PKA are distinguished by several cellular and biochemical characteristics (2-8). Four isoforms of R subunits, RI α , RI β , RII α , and RII β (9-12), and three isoforms of C subunits, C α , C β , and C γ (13, 14) have been identified.

Differential expression of two types of PKA has been

correlated with cell growth and differentiation (15). Cells in early developmental stage or undergoing active proliferation show a high level of type I PKA and/or high RI/RII ratio. However, growth-arrested cells or terminally differentiated cells exhibit a high type II PKA level and low RI/RII ratio.

We have previously reported that the overexpression of RII β induces growth inhibition in SK-N-SH human neuroblastoma cells (16). Since the growth inhibition was accompanied by the reduction of $RI\alpha$ protein, it was not clear whether the growth inhibition was administered by the increased RII β or by the decrease in RI α . In LS-174T human colon carcinoma cells and DT ras-transformed NIH/3T3 cells, it was found that the overexpression of mutant RII β which caused marked reduction in RI α and type I PKA activity could not induce growth inhibition (17, 18). These results suggested that functional type II PKA is essential in the growth inhibition of cancer cells. However, the possibility that the dominant negative effect of mutant $RII\beta$ restrained the growth inhibition caused by the reduction of RI α /type I PKA activity could not be excluded.

In the present study, we examined the effect of varying the type I PKA activity levels on the growth of SK-N-SH human neuroblastoma cells. RI α sense-and antisense-expression constructs were introduced into SK-N-SH cells to change the type I PKA level. RII β and $C\alpha$ expression constructs were also introduced to modulate both type I and type II PKA levels. Using these cells, we found that the cell growth is mainly regulated by type II PKA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Human RI α (9), RII α (11), and RII β (12) cDNAs were provided by Dr. Tore Jahnsen, Rikshopitalet, Oslo, Norway. Human

¹ To whom correspondence and reprint request should be addressed at Laboratory of Cell Biology, Institute for Molecular Biology and Genetics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea. Fax: (2) 888-8577. E-mail: shong@plaza.snu.ac.kr.

 $C\alpha$ (13) cDNA was provided by Dr. S. Hanks, Salk Institute, San Diego, CA. Most reagents were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). Eagle's minimum essential medium and heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum were purchased from GIBCO-BRL (Gaithersburg, MD). 8-N₃-[³²P]-cAMP (60 Ci/mmole; 1 Ci = 37 GBq) was obtained from ICN pharmaceuticals (Irvine, CA).

Cell culture. SK-N-SH human neuroblastoma cell line (provided by L. Neckers, National Cancer Institute) was maintained in Eagle's minimum essential medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 at 37°C.

Construction of expression vectors and introduction into SK-N-SH cells. OT1521 retroviral vector (19) was used to generate expression constructs. The constructs for the overexpression of RI α , RII β and C α (17), and RI α antisense-expression construct (20) were prepared as previously described. Introduction of expression constructs into SK-N-SH cells and selections of high expressor clonal lines were performed as described previously (16).

Northern hybridization. Total cellular RNA from the cells treated with 120 μ M ZnSO₄ for three days to induce the expression of introduced genes were extracted, electrophoresed, and blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane as described previously (21). Membranes were prehybridized at 65°C for riboprobes and at 40°C for DNA probes in $5 \times$ SSC, 50% formamide, $5 \times$ Denhardt's solution, 0.1% SDS, and 200 μ g/ml calf thymus DNA for 2 hrs. Hybridization was performed in the same buffer at the respective temperature with ³²P-labeled probe for 16 hrs. To obtain single strand RNA probes in antisense orientation, a 451 bp HindIII fragment (nucleotide positions 354 to 804, assuming the translation start site as +1) of human RII β cDNA (12), and an 845 bp *EcoRI* fragment (-103 to 742) of human RI α cDNA (9) which were cloned into pBluescript SK(+) (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) were used as templates for *in vitro* transcription with T3 RNA polymerase. The RI α sense riboprobe was generated by *in vitro* transcription of the 845 bp EcoRI fragment of RIa cDNA using T7 RNA polymerase. Other probes, such as the 1.5 kb EcoRI fragment (92-1554) of RII α cDNA (11), the 1.1 kb human C α total cDNA (13), and the BamHI-EcoRI fragment of 18 S rRNA gene (22), were labeled by random-priming method. After hybridization, membranes were washed twice at room temperature with $2 \times$ SSC for 10 min, and then four times at 65°C with 0.1 \times SSC/0.1% SDS for 30 min for RII β and $RI\alpha$ riboprobes. For DNA probes, membranes were washed twice at room temperature with $2 \times SSC$ for 10 min, twice at room temperature with $0.1 \times SSC/0.1\%$ SDS for 20 min, and then twice at 50°C with 0.1× SSC/0.1% SDS for 15 min. Washed membranes were exposed to X-ray films.

Monolayer and soft agar growth assay. For the monolayer growth assay, 2×10^4 cells were seeded on 35 mm culture dish at day 0. After 24 hrs, ZnSO_4 was added to the final concentration of 120 μ M. Cell number was determined at day 6 using Coulter counter. For the soft agar growth assay, 5×10^4 cells in 1 ml of 0.3% Difco Noble agar in culture medium were layered over 1 ml of 0.8% agar-medium in 35 mm dish. After nine days, colonies were stained with nitro blue tetrazolium for overnight. Colonies larger than 0.3 mm were counted with MiniCount (IPI, Chantilly, VA).

8-N₃-[³²P]-cAMP labeling and Western blot analysis. Cells were treated with 120 μM ZnSO₄ for three days before analysis. Cell extracts were prepared as described before (23). The 8-N₃-[³²P]-cAMP labeling (23) and Western blot analysis (16) were performed as described previously.

DEAE-cellulose chromatography and protein kinase A assay. Exponentially growing cells were treated with 120 μ M ZnSO₄ for three days. Preparation of cell extract, DEAE-cellulose chromatography, and protein kinase A activity assay were performed as described previously (24). For the assay of total protein kinase A activity, thirty micrograms of cell extract were used.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In an attempt to define the primary cause of the growth inhibition caused by the overexpression of RII β (16), we introduced RI α -and RI α antisense-expression constructs into SK-N-SH cells to either increase or suppress the level of RI α or type I PKA without any changes in the type II PKA level. RII β and C α -expression constructs were also introduced to bring about changes in both type I and type II PKA levels. After introduction of each construct into SK-N-SH cells, high expressor clonal cells for RI α , RII β , and C α were selected with Northern blot analysis using $RI\alpha$, $RII\beta$, and $C\alpha$ cDNAs as probes, respectively. For RI α antisenseexpressing cells, RI α sense riboprobe was used to select the cells highly expressing $RI\alpha$ antisense RNA. For the characterization of each cell line, one high expressor clonal line was used for RII β -overexpression (16), each pool of three high expressor clonal lines was used for RI α -and C α -expressing cells, and a pool of two clonal lines was used for RI α antisense-expressing cells. The pool of OT1521-introduced cells was used as a vectorintroduced control.

To confirm the overexpression or suppression of PKA subunits in prepared cells, and to examine the effects of increased or decreased PKA subunit level on the expression of other subunits, we performed Northern (Fig. 1A) and Western (Fig. 1B) blot analysis. In Northern blot analysis, RI α mRNA was detected in all cell lines. The highest level of RI α mRNA (10-fold increase compared to parental cells) was observed in the $RI\alpha$ overexpressing cells and a 3-fold increase of RI α mRNA was detected in the C α -overexpressing cells. In the RI α antisense-expressing cells, the level of $RI\alpha$ mRNA was the same as parental cells (Fig. 1A). However, in Western blot analysis, RI α protein was increased only in $C\alpha$ -overexpressing cells (3-fold compared to parental cells), and was not changed in RI α -overexpressing cells. In RI α antisense-expressing cells and RII β -overexpressing cells, $RI\alpha$ protein was reduced by 40 and 70%, respectively (Fig. 1B). The discrepancy between the mRNA and the protein level of RI α has been attributed to the lower affinity of RI to C subunit than that of RII (25). Because RII has higher affinity to C subunit than RI, overexpressed RII forms holoenzyme with C subunit leaving excess RI as free subunit. In contrast, most of the overexpressed RI cannot form holoenzyme and remains as free subunit. In both cases, the free RI protein is easily degraded. The decrease of $RI\alpha$ protein in $RI\alpha$ antisense-expressing cells without change in mRNA level suggests that the RI α antisense RNA might act at the translation level. RII β mRNA and protein were detected in only RII β -overexpressing cells (Fig. 1A,B). RII α mRNA and protein levels were the same in all infectants (data not shown). $C\alpha$ mRNA and protein levels were increased only in $C\alpha$ -overexpressing cells (10-fold in mRNA and 1.5-fold in protein)



FIG. 1. mRNA and protein levels of protein kinase A subunits. Panel A, total cellular RNA from each cell line was analyzed with Northern hybridization as described in "Materials and Methods." Blots were probed with RI α , RII β , C α , and 18 S rRNA genes as shown. The size of each band is indicated at the right side of the autoradiogram. Panel B, cell extracts were analyzed by Western blot analysis as described in "Materials and Methods." Each protein blot analysis as described in "Materials and Methods." Each protein was detected with polyclonal antiserum generated against recombinant protein produced in bacteria. The membranes were stained with Ponceau S and an equal amount of protein on each lane was confirmed. Lane 1, SK-N-SH; lane 2, OT1521-introduced cell; lane 3, RII β -overexpressing cell; lane 4, RI α -overexpressing cell; lane 5, RI α antisense-expressing cell; lane 6, C α -overexpressing cell.

whereas they stayed constant in control and other infectants (Fig. 1A,B). The level of $RI\alpha$ protein seems not to be related to the growth of SK-N-SH cells. We checked the growth of SK-N-SH cells to examine the effect of changes in PKA subunit level (Fig. 2A,B). Decrease of RI α in RI α antisense-expressing cells did not inhibit the cell proliferation in monolayer and soft agar culture condition. Cell growth was even decreased in C α -overexpressing cells where RI α protein level was increased. However, the RII β overexpression, which has been known to decrease the cellular level of $RI\alpha$ protein (16), inhibited cell growth by 50% in monolayer culture and by 60% in soft agar culture as compared to either the parental cells or vector-introduced cells. These results indicate that the level of $RI\alpha$ is not related to the growth of SK-N-SH cells.

By photoaffinity labeling with 8-N₃-[³²P]-cAMP, we analyzed the ratio of RI to RII. The ratio of RI to RII was 2:1 in parental, vector-introduced, and in RI α -over-expressing cells, and was 6:1 in C α -overexpressing cells. In RI α antisense-expressing and RII β -overexpressing cells, the ratios of RI:RII were 1:1 and 1:2, respectively (data not shown). Thus, the growth inhibi-



FIG. 2. Effects of overexpression or antisense expression of protein kinase A subunits on cell growth. Panel A, effects on the monolayer growth. Cells were grown in the presence of $ZnSO_4$ as described in "Materials and Methods." Cell number was determined 5 days after induction. Panel B, effects on soft agar colony formation. Cells were grown for 9 days in 0.3% agar medium in the presence of $ZnSO_4$. Colonies larger than 0.3 mm were counted. Designations are SK, parental cell; OT, vector-introduced cell; RII β , RII β -overexpressing cell; RI α , RI α -overexpressing cell; RI α , RI α -overexpressing cell. Data show means of two separate experiments of triplicate determination with standard deviation.

tion in RII β -and C α -overexpressing cells could not be explained by the ratio of RI to RII, either.

Since we found that the growth rate of SK-N-SH is independent of either the intracellular level of RI α protein or RI/RII ratio, we decided to examine the relationship between the PKA isozyme distribution and the growth of SK-N-SH cells. We fractionated type I and type II PKA using DEAE-cellulose column chromatography and determined the activity of each isozyme (Fig. 3). Type I PKA activity peaked at 90 mM NaCl, and type II PKA activity was eluted at 230-260 mM NaCl. The parental and vector-introduced cells showed 1:1 ratio of type I to type II PKA in peak height. The type I PKA activities were increased by 1.5-and 2.7-fold in RI α -and C α -overexpressing cells, respectively, and



FIG. 3. Activities of protein kinase A isozymes. Cell extracts were subjected to the DEAE-cellulose chromatography and protein kinase A assay as described in "Materials and Methods." Kinase activities were determined in the absence (\Box) or presence (\blacksquare) of 5 μ M cAMP. Designations are the same as in Fig. 2. Solid line indicates NaCl concentration gradient for elution. Data show a representative from three separate experiments of similar results.

 TABLE 1

 Total Protein Kinase A Activity

Cell line	Kinase activity (fmole/min/ μ g)	
	Without cAMP	5 μ M cAMP
SK ^a	23.75 ± 2.36^{b}	71.03 ± 6.96
OT	27.30 ± 4.96	73.65 ± 2.45
$RII\beta$	29.09 ± 1.59	162.30 ± 4.94
$RI\alpha$	21.57 ± 3.24	68.94 ± 10.08
$RI\alpha$ -r	17.68 ± 1.58	64.36 ± 5.91
$C\alpha$	69.44 ± 10.23	475.30 ± 28.46

^{*a*} Designations for cell lines are the same as Fig. 2.

 $^{b}\,\text{Data}$ show means \pm standard deviation for two separate experiments.

were reduced in RII β -overexpressing and RI α antisense-expressing cells by 50-60% (Fig. 3). Thus, the inhibition in cell growth could not be explained by the changes in type I PKA level. However, the RII β -and $C\alpha$ -overexpressing cells, in which the growth rates were reduced compared to parental cells (Fig. 2A,B), showed increased type II PKA activity by 2.5-and 1.5fold, respectively (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the increase of type II PKA activity inversely correlated with the ability of growth in soft agar (Fig. 2B), which is an important criterion for cell transformation. These data indicate that the type II, but not type I PKA, is the main regulator of cell growth.

To test the possibility that the increase of PKA catalytic activity affects the growth of SK-N-SH cells, we checked the total PKA activity in each cell line (Table 1). In the absence of cAMP, parental, vector-introduced, RI α -, RI α antisense-, and RII β -expressing cells showed similar level of total PKA activity. However, C α -overexpressing cells showed about 3-fold increased PKA activity in the absence of cAMP. In the presence of 5 μ M cAMP, only RII β -and C α -overexpressing cells showed twice and seven times higher level of total PKA activity than that of parental or vector-introduced cells. However, the change in total PKA activity does not parallel the level of growth inhibition in RII β -and C α -overexpressing cells. The increase of total PKA activity is much higher in C α -overexpressing cells than RII β -expressing cells. In contrast, the degree of growth inhibition is higher in RII β -overexpressing cells (Fig. 2). Therefore, total PKA activity cannot account for the inhibition of SK-N-SH cell growth.

There have been reports that down-regulation of RI α using RI α antisense oligodeoxynucleotide causes growth inhibition and differentiation in a variety of cancer cells (26, 27). The RI α antisense oligodeoxynucleotide also suppressed tumor growth in nude mice (28). In these reports, RI α antisense treatment caused an increase in RII β protein as well as the reduction of RI α mRNA (27) and protein (26-28). However, in our

 $RI\alpha$ antisense-expressing cells, the expression of $RII\beta$ was not induced as examined with Northern and Western blot analysis. This enabled us to analyze the effect of RI α down-regulation on the cell growth without the effect of RII β . The down-regulation of RI α /type I PKA was evident in RI α antisense-expressing cells as assessed by Western blot analysis and DEAE-cellulose chromatography combined with PKA assay, but the cell growth was not changed. Therefore, $RI\alpha$ /type I PKA is not the main effector of cell growth. But the increase in type II PKA by overexpression of RII β or C α could cause growth inhibition. Thus, the growth of SK-N-SH cells are mainly regulated by the type II PKA. Accordingly, for the growth inhibition induced by $RI\alpha$ suppression, the increase of RII β may be necessary. Two possibilities may exist on why RII β was not induced in our $RI\alpha$ antisense-expressing cells. One possibility is that the expression level of RI α antisense RNA was not sufficient to induce RII β protein. The other possibility is that the antisense RNA against the whole mRNA may act differently from the antisense oligodeoxynucleotide directed to a specific region of RI α mRNA (26-28).

Previous reports presented the possibility that the two types of PKA may transduce different intracellular signals. Type II PKA is responsible for the CRE (cAMPresponsive element)-dependent transcription in PC-12 cells (29), and RII binds to CRE in a cAMP-dependent manner in vitro (30). Type II PKA shows different subcellular distribution from that of type I PKA. Type II PKA is attached to specific subcellular structures through the binding with AKAP (A-kinase anchoring protein: 31), whereas type I PKA is mainly cytosolic (32). This may confer different substrate specificity to type I and type II PKA. Colocalization of type I PKA with TCR-CD3 complex during T cell activation has also been reported, suggesting involvement of type I PKA in T cell activation (33). Thus, two types of PKA may act as different signal transducer by different cellular localization, differential binding with cellular proteins, and unique functions of their regulatory subunits.

Based on the previous reports that the overexpression of mutant RII β that down-regulates RI α protein and type I PKA cannot inhibit the growth of transformed cells (17, 18), the importance of functional type II PKA in the growth inhibition has been suggested. However, in these studies, the possibility that the mutant RII β protein act as a dominant-negative mutant cannot be excluded. In the present study, using the $RI\alpha$ antisense-expressing cells, we showed that type II PKA plays a major role in the growth regulation of SK-N-SH cells, and the level of RI α or type I PKA is not the main determinant in the growth regulation. In summary, we conclude that the up-regulation of type II PKA activity accounts for the growth inhibition through the PKA signalling pathway in SK-N-SH human neuroblastoma cells.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by grants from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the Research Center for Cell Differentiation (95K3-0401-03-03-4 and 95K3-0401-03-01-4), from the Han Project of the Ministry of Science and Technology, and from the Non Directed Research Fund (01-D-0167), Korea Research Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Krebs, E. G., and Beavo, J. (1979) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 59, 971– 1005.
- 2. Kapoor, C. L., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1983) *Cell. Biol. Int. Rep.* 7, 49-60.
- Kapoor, C. L., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1983) Cancer Res. 43, 295– 302.
- 4. Kapoor, C. L., Grantham, F., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1984) *Cancer Res.* 44, 3554–3560.
- Meinkoth, J. L., Ji, Y., Taylor, S. S., and Feramisco, J. R. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 9595–9599.
- Coghlan, V. M., Bergeson, S. E., Langebert, L., Nilaver, G., and Scott, J. D. (1993) *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 127/128, 309–319.
- Reimann, E. M., Walsh, D. A., and Krebs, E. G. (1971) J. Biol. Chem. 246, 1986-1995.
- 8. Taylor, S. S., Buechler, J. A., and Yonemoto, W. (1990) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 59, 971-1005.
- Sandberg, M., Taskén, K., Øyen, O., Hansson, V., and Jahnsen, T. (1987) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 149, 939-945.
- 10. Solberg, R., Taskén, K., Keiserud, A., and Jahnsen, T. (1991) Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 176, 166-172.
- 11. Øyen, O., Myklebust, F., Scott, J. D., Hansson, V., and Jahnsen, T. (1989) *FEBS Lett.* **246**, 57–64.
- Levy, F. O., Øyen, O., Sandberg, M., Taskén, K., Eskild, W., Hansson, V., and Jahnsen, T. (1988) *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2, 1364– 1373.
- 13. Maldonado, F., and Hanks, S. K. (1988) Nucleic Acids Res. 16, 8189-8190.
- Beebe, S. J., Øyen, O., Sandberg, M., Frøysa, A., Hansson, V., and Jahnsen, T. (1990) Mol. Endocrinol. 4, 465–475.

- 15. Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1990) Cancer Res. 50, 7093-7100.
- Kim, S. N., Lee, G. R., Cho-Chung, Y. S., Park, S. D., and Hong, S. H. (1996) Int. J. Oncol. 8, 663–668.
- Nesterova, M., Yokozaki, H., McDuffie, E., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1996) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 235, 486–494.
- Budillon, A., Cereseto, A., Kondrashin, A., Nesterova, M., Merlo, G., Clair, T., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1995) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 92, 10634–10638.
- 19. Tortora, G., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 18067-18070.
- Tortora, G., Pepe, S., Bianco, C., Baldassarre, G., Budillon, A., Clair, T., Cho-Chung, Y. S., Bianco, A. R., and Ciardiello, F. (1994) Oncogene 9, 3233–3240.
- Tortora, G., Budillon, A., Yokozaki, H., Clair, T., Pepe, S., Merlo, G., Rohlff, C., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1994) *Cell Growth Differ.* 5, 753–759.
- Bowman, L. H., Rabin, B., and Schlessinger, D. (1981) Nucleic Acids Res. 9, 4951–4966.
- 23. Tortora, G., Clair, T., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 705-708.
- Rohlff, C., Clair, T., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1993) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 5774–5782.
- Otten, A. D., and McKnight, G. S. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 20255-20260.
- Tortora, G., Yokozaki, H., Pepe, S., Clair, T., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1991) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 2011–2015.
- Yokozaki, H., Budillon, A., Tortora, G., Meissner, S., Beaucage, S. L., Miki, K., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1993) *Cancer Res.* 53, 868– 872.
- Nesterova, M., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1995) Nature Med. 1, 528– 533.
- 29. Tortora, G., and Cho-Chung, Y. S. (1990) J. Biol. Chem. 265, 18067-18070.
- Wu, J. C., and Wang, J. H. (1989) J. Biol. Chem. 264, 9989– 9993.
- Coghlan, V. M., Bergeson, S. E., Langeberg, L., Nilaver, G., and Scott, J. D. (1993) *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 127/128, 309–319.
- 32. Rubin, C. S., Rangel-Aldao, R., Sarkar, D., Erlichman, J., and Fleischer, N. (1979) *J. Biol. Chem.* **254**, 3797–3805.
- Skålhegg, B. S., Taskén, K., Hansson, V., Huitfeldt, H. S., Jahnsen, T., and Lea, T. (1994) *Science* 263, 84–87.