FUNGAL MICROBIOLOGY

Comparison of the Diversity of Basidiomycetes from Dead Wood of the Manchurian fir (*Abies holophylla*) as Evaluated by Fruiting Body Collection, Mycelial Isolation, and 454 Sequencing

Yeongseon Jang¹ · Seokyoon Jang² · Mihee Min² · Joo-Hyun Hong² · Hanbyul Lee² · Hwanhwi Lee² · Young Woon Lim¹ · Jae-Jin Kim²

Received: 11 March 2015 / Accepted: 15 April 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Abstract In this study, three different methods (fruiting body collection, mycelial isolation, and 454 sequencing) were implemented to determine the diversity of wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes from dead Manchurian fir (Abies holophylla). The three methods recovered similar species richness (26 species from fruiting bodies, 32 species from mycelia, and 32 species from 454 sequencing), but Fisher's alpha, Shannon-Wiener, Simpson's diversity indices of fungal communities indicated fruiting body collection and mycelial isolation displayed higher diversity compared with 454 sequencing. In total, 75 wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes were detected. The most frequently observed species were Heterobasidion orientale (fruiting body collection), Bjerkandera adusta (mycelial isolation), and Trichaptum fusco-violaceum (454 sequencing). Only two species, Hymenochaete yasudae and Hypochnicium karstenii, were detected by all three methods. This result indicated that Manchurian fir harbors a diverse basidiomycetous fungal community and for complete estimation of fungal diversity, multiple methods should be used. Further studies are required to understand their ecology in the context of forest ecosystems.

Keywords Dead wood · Mycoparasitic fungi · Mycorrhizal fungi · Wood-decay fungi

☑ Jae-Jin Kim jae-jinkim@korea.ac.kr

Introduction

With respect to biodiversity, dead wood is recognized as a primary resource for a wide range of organisms, such as insects, bryophytes, lichens, and fungi [3]. Among these organisms, wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes have significant ecological roles in forest ecosystems [35], with most acting as wood decayers [43], mycorrhizal fungi that have mutualistic relationships with trees [39], plant pathogens [2], or as mycoparasites [8]. The diversity and distribution of these fungi are related to the substrate quality such as tree species, tree sizes, and tree parts [14, 40, 54] and environmental factors such as exposure to sunlight, temperature, humidity, and distance to soil contact [10, 13, 46]. Lindner et al. [43] showed that the number and composition of wood-inhabiting species is not static and changes across years.

The diversity of wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes is generally determined by collecting the fungal fruiting bodies [3, 14, 33, 34, 40, 54]. However, certain species may be missed because of a lack of fruiting bodies at the time of sampling [43] or because the fruiting bodies are inconspicuous [40]. As such, to properly estimate the diversity by collecting fruiting bodies, repeated surveys and a significant investment of time are required [11]. In addition to performing a fruiting body inventory, fungal mycelia can be isolated to determine the diversity of wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes. With advances in molecular identification methods, mycelial isolation is now widely employed [22-25]. However, this process is laborious, and not all species inside wood are culturable. Moreover, discriminating basidiomycetes from other fungi is not always successful [53]. Therefore, DNA-based community fingerprinting methods, such as denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (TGGE), and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), have been implemented to determine the diversity of wood-

¹ School of Biological Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Republic of Korea

² Division of Environmental Science and Ecological Engineering, College of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Korea University, 145 Anam-ro, Seongbuk-gu, Seoul 136-701, Korea

inhabiting basidiomycetes [17, 50]. These cultureindependent methods use band patterns to differentiate fungal communities; however, each band might not represent a single species, and communities can be underestimated because these methods have a limited resolution [9]. To overcome such limitations, 454 sequencing, a recently developed nextgeneration sequencing system, has been introduced to this field. This high-throughput sequencing method can provide a better understanding of fungal communities inside wood [26, 42, 43]. Although this method is rapidly replacing traditional methods, 454 sequencing is not free from methodological biases. Moreover, the databases used to identify the sequences include errors, and annotation information is not included for all of the sequences [7, 32, 42]. Several attempts have been made to understand the differences in the diversities measured by the fruiting body collection, mycelial isolation and/or DNA-based methods and it was concluded that woodinhabiting fungi are best detected through a combination of methods [1, 42, 46].

The Manchurian fir (Abies holophylla Maxim.) is an evergreen coniferous tree that grows to 40 m in height and 1 m in diameter at breast height [28]. According to the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) red list of threatened species, Manchurian fir is distributed in China, Korea, and Russia and has near-threatened status because of logging activities, especially in Russia [20]. This tree is distributed in mountainous regions ranging up to 1200-1500 m above sea level depending on the geographic location. Currently, Manchurian fir is used for timber as well as plywood and veneer. In South Korea, Manchurian fir is widely distributed on Mt. Odae in Odaesan National Park, which contains one of the most famous fir forests in South Korea. Although several wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes have been reported from Manchurian fir [18, 29, 30], no intensive surveys have been conducted. Thus, our knowledge of the diversity of fungi present in these forests and their ecological significance is still limited.

The goal of this study was to determine the diversity of wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes from dead wood of Manchurian fir in Odaesan National Park. To determine the basidiomycete diversity, (1) fruiting body collection, (2) mycelial isolation, and (3) 454 sequencing were performed in combination.

Materials and Methods

Sampling

to October 2013. The morphological characteristics of the fruiting bodies were examined, and they were identified to genus or species level.

For mycelial isolation and 454 sequencing, five dead branches and five dead logs of Manchurian fir were randomly selected from July to October 2013 from the same region. Wood cores were taken in the field by inserting increment borers (5 mm in diameter). After removing the first $2\sim3$ cm, the subsequent $2\sim3$ cm were placed into 15-ml sterile conical tubes. In addition, wood blocks (size ~10 cm³) were cut in the field using a hand axe or hand saw when possible, and the samples were transported to the laboratory in an icebox.

For branches (~2 m long), each was subsampled at approximately 40-cm intervals throughout its length. Depending on the length of the branch, two to five samples were obtained: one close to the trunk, zero to three from the middle of the branch, and one at the tip of the branch. In total, 17 subsamples were collected from branches. For logs (~5 m long), each was subsampled six times at approximately 1-m intervals throughout its length. In total, 30 subsamples were collected from five logs.

Next, subsamples were processed for mycelial isolation and DNA sequencing. First, bark was removed, then subsamples were sterilized by flaming to remove surface contaminants [51]. Three small fragments (~5 mm³) from each subsample were placed into Petri dishes containing 2 % malt extract agar [20 g malt extract (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA), 15 g agar (Showa, Tokyo, Japan), 1000 ml distilled water] and 4 mg l⁻¹ benomyl (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for mycelial isolation [52]. The remainder of each wood sample was immediately frozen at -80 °C and stored for DNA extraction. Fungal colonies were subcultured until pure cultures were isolated. All of the fruiting body specimens and isolates are preserved in the Korea University Culture Collection (KUC), South Korea.

Molecular Analysis of the Fruiting Bodies and Mycelial Isolates

DNA extraction was performed on the fruiting bodies and mycelial isolates using the Accuprep DNA Extraction Kit (Bioneer, Seoul, Korea). To obtain the sequences of internal transcribed spacer (ITS), PCR reactions were performed according to Jang et al. [16]. The Accuprep PCR Purification Kit (Bioneer, Seoul, Korea) was used to purify the PCR products, which were then sequenced with the forward or reverse primer by Macrogen Ltd. (Seoul, Korea).

DNA Library Preparation from Wood Samples and 454 Sequencing

For each branch or log, three fragments ($\sim 5 \text{ mm}^3$) of each subsample were taken and combined (6–15 fragments for each

branch. 18 fragments for each log) for DNA extraction. Wood samples frozen at -80 °C were combined and ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. DNA extraction was performed using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to Rachmayanti et al. [45]. A DNA library was prepared using the FastStart High Fidelity PCR System (Roche, Penzberg, Germany) with 20 ng DNA, 1 µl of each forward and reverse primers (10 µM), 0.5 µl dNTP mix (10 mM each), 2.5 µl FastStart 10× buffer #2, 0.25 µl FastStart Hifi Polymerase (5 U μ l⁻¹), and molecular biologygrade water in a 25-µl reaction. The ITS2 region was targeted for DNA library construction using the following primers: ITS3 KYO2 (5'-α-GATGAAGAACGYAGYRAA-3')/ITS4 $(5'-\beta$ -TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') [49], where α (5'-CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTGTCTCCGAC-3') and β (5'-CCTATCCCCTGTGTGCCCTTGGCAGTC-3') are GS FLX Titanium primers followed by a four-digit key sequence (TCAG) and an eight-digit barcode sequence. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation step at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 45 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 8 min. The size of the PCR product was verified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with a DNA 1000 LabChip kit (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). The PCR product was purified with Agencourt AMpure XP (Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, USA). 454 Sequencing was performed using GS FLX Titanium platform (454 Life Sciences, Branford, CT, USA) at Macrogen Ltd. (Seoul, Korea). The sequences were read from the forward primer.

Bioinformatics

The preprocessing of sequences was performed with the program CD-HIT-OTU [31]. The sequences were sorted using the barcode sequence, and then trimmed by removing the primer, key, and barcode sequences from both ends. Errors such as homopolymers, primer dimers, and chimera sequences were also removed. After removing the erroneous sequences, 5527 sequences (>200 bp) remained for analysis. Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering was performed using a greedy incremental clustering algorithm with a \geq 97 % similarity threshold.

Phylogenetic Analysis

The ITS sequences generated from the fruiting bodies, mycelial isolates, and representative OTUs sequences from 454 sequencing were deposited in GenBank (Table 1). Sequences were compared with the reference sequences in GenBank using a BLASTn search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/BLAST/). The ITS sequences and reference sequences were aligned using MAFFT 7.130 [19] and manually verified with MacClade 4.08 [36]. A Bayesian analysis was performed with MrBayes 3.2.1 [48] with the model selected by MrModeltest 2.3 [41] according to a previously described method [16]. FigTree 1.4.0 was used to view the tree (http:// tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Statistical Analysis

Fisher's alpha, Shannon-Wiener index, Shannon evenness, and Simpson's index of diversity of fungal communities inferred from fruiting bodies, mycelial cultures, and 454 sequencing were calculated using PAST 3.04 [12]. To understand similarities of fungal communities inferred by the three different methods, unweighted pair-group method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA) clustering analysis was performed on Bray-Curtis similarities also using PAST. The reliability of the topology was tested with 1000 bootstrap resampling.

Results

Diversity of Wood-Inhabiting Basidiomycetes

From the fruiting body field surveys, 37 specimens were collected from Manchurian fir. Of these, 26 species were identified using morphological and molecular analyses: 11 polyporoid fungi, 8 corticioid fungi, 6 agarics, and 1 puffball (Table 1). These species were classified into 22 genera, 12 families, and 5 orders. The remaining six specimens could not be identified to the species level using either morphological or molecular analysis (*Ceriporia* sp. KUC20130718-42, *Galerina* sp. KUC20131001-02, Hymenochaetales sp. KUC20131001-10, *Phanerochaete* sp. 3 KUC20130808-05, *Pholiota* sp. KUC20121019-14, and *Steccherinum* sp. KUC20131001-16) (Fig. 1).

From the mycelial isolation method, 88 basidiomycetous fungal isolates were obtained and classified as 32 species, 20 genera, 13 families, and 6 orders. Based on the resolution of ITS, 20 species were fully identified, 8 species identified to genus level, 1 to family (Hydnaceae sp. KUC11065), 2 to order (Dacrymycetales sp. 1 KUC11054 and Dacrymycetales sp. 2 KUC11057), and 1 to phylum (Basidiomycete sp. 1 KUC11081) (Fig. 1, Table 1). Species not resolved to species level showed low sequence similarity to reference sequences in GenBank.

From the 454 sequencing, 5527 sequences were assigned to 81 OTUs, and the rarefaction curve reached a plateau (not shown). Thirty-two OTUs (4874 sequences) were assigned to Basidiomycota, and the remaining OTUs belonged to Ascomycota (48 OTUs of 648 sequences) and Mucoromycotina (1 OTU of 5 sequences) (Fig. 2). The basidiomycetous OTUs were classified into 20 genera, 13 families, and 10 orders. Only 12 OTUs were identified to the species level; 9 OTUs to the genus level; and 11 OTUs were identified

Table 1 The list of wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes from Manchurian fi	r detected by fruiting body co	llection, mycelial isolation, and	1 454 sequencing			
Species	GenBank accession no.	(ITS)		No. of s	samples	
	Fruiting body (Fb)	Mycelial culture (Mc)	454 Sequence read (Sr)	Fb	Mc	Sr
Amylostereum chailletii (Pers.) Boidin		KJ713994	KJ714029		6	53
Antrodiella semisupina (Berk. & M.A. Curtis) Ryvarden	KJ668572			1	I	I
Atractiellales sp.			KJ714035	Ι	Ι	11
Basidiomycete sp. 1		KJ713986		Ι	1	Ι
Basidiomycete sp. 2			KM245577			19
Basidiomycete sp. 3			KJ714039	Ι	Ι	6
Basidiomycete sp. 4			KJ714044	Ι	Ι	4
Bjerkandera adusta (Willd.) P. Karst.		KJ714016		I	14	I
Cantharellales sp.			KJ714036	I	I	16
Ceriporia pseudocystidiata Y.C. Dai & B.S. Jia	KJ668566			1	I	I
<i>Ceriporia</i> sp.	KJ668564			1	Ι	I
Coprinellus disseminatus (Pers.) J.E. Lange		KJ714005		I	4	I
Coprinellus micaceus (Bull.) Vilgalys, Hopple & Jacq. Johnson		KJ713992		Ι	1	I
Coprinellus radians (Desm.) Vilgalys, Hopple & Jacq. Johnson		KJ714004		Ι	2	Ι
Coprinellus sp.		KJ713990		Ι	4	I
Corticium roseum Pers.	KJ668558			1	Ι	I
Dacrymyces aureosporus Shirouzu & Tokum.		KJ713985		Ι	4	I
Dacrymyces subalpinus Kobayasi		KJ713998	KJ714025	I	1	50
Dacrymycetales sp. 1		KJ714000	KJ714031	I	3	20
Dacrymycetales sp. 2		KJ713997	KJ714043	I	1	5
Dacrymycetales sp. 3			KJ714030	Ι	Ι	34
Dacrymycetales sp. 4			KJ714023	Ι	Ι	120
Daedaleopsis confragosa (Bolton) J. Schröt.	KJ668555			1	Ι	I
Fibricium rude (P. Karst.) Jülich	KJ668552			1	I	I
Fomitopsis pinicola (Sw.) P. Karst.	KJ668547			3	I	I
Galerina marginata (Batsch) Kühner	KJ713984			1	I	I
Galerina sp.	KJ713983			1	Ι	I
Ganoderma applanatum (Pers.) Pat.	KJ668542			1	Ι	I
Gloeoporus dichrous (Fr.) Bres.	KJ668541			1	Ι	I
Gloeostereum incarnatum S. Ito & S. Imai	KJ668540			1	Ι	I
Gloiothele sp.		KJ713991	KJ714038	I	1	15
Hericium abietis (Weir ex Hubert) K.A. Harrison			KJ714042	Ι	I	5
Heterobasidion orientale Tokuda, T. Hatt. & Y.C. Dai	KF218833			5	I	

Fraining body (Fb)Nysecial culture (Mc)451 Sequence cond (S)FbMcHydnerse spinHydnerse (Mc)451 Sequence cond (S)FbMcHydnerse spinHydnerse (Mc)K71 3003K71 3003K71 402322Hydnerse spin functionK688532K77 1402K71 4023222Hydnerse spin functionK688512K77 1402K77 1402222Hydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 1402222Hydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 1403222Hydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 1403222Hydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 1403222Hydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 14032222Hydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 140322222Hydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 140322222Hydnerse spin functionHydnerse spin functionK77 140322222Hydnerse spin functionHydnerse spin functionK77 1403K77 140322 <th>Species</th> <th>GenBank accession no.</th> <th>. (ITS)</th> <th></th> <th>No. of</th> <th>samples</th> <th></th>	Species	GenBank accession no.	. (ITS)		No. of	samples	
Hydrace sp. KJT1393 KJT1401 Z <thz< th=""> <thz< th=""> <thz< th=""> Z</thz<></thz<></thz<>		Fruiting body (Fb)	Mycelial culture (Mc)	454 Sequence read (Sr)	Fb	Mc	Sr
Hymonobandles er, Instance der kannel, Hymonobandle macki Ku68523 KU714021 2 1 Hymonobandle macki K168523 K1714021 4 1 Hymonobandle macki K168532 K1714021 4 1 Hymonobandle macki K1714021 K1714021 4 1 Hymonobandle marki K1714031 K1714032 1 1 Hymonobandle marki K1714031 K1714032 1 1 Hymonobandle marki K1714032 K1714032 1 1 1 Alter answer (Fra) F. Kum K1714035 K1714035 1<	Hydnaceae sp.		KJ713993		I	2	Т
	Hymenochaetales sp.	KJ668529			2	I	I
Hyphodema sp. KJ1402 KJ1403 KJ1404 - 1 Hyphodema sp. Hyphodema sp. KJ1403 KJ1403 - <td><i>Hymenochaete yasudae</i> Imazeki</td> <td>KJ668525</td> <td>KJ713999</td> <td>KJ714021</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> <td>223</td>	<i>Hymenochaete yasudae</i> Imazeki	KJ668525	KJ713999	KJ714021	4	1	223
Bygioneration core (Bres) Nation K11404 K11402 I $Pigoineration core (Bres) Nation K568312 K11403 I I Pigoineration correct (Fe), Fi K11403 K11403 I I Pigoineration correct (Fe), Fi K11398 K11403 I I Pigoineration correct (Fe), Fi K11398 K11398 K11403 I I Lycorrection K11398 K11403 K11403 I I I Locorrection K11403 K11403 K11403 I I I Locorrection K11403 K11403 K11403 I I I Locorrection K11403 K11403 K11403 I I I $	Hyphoderma sp.		KJ714002	KJ714024	I	1	109
Hypochnician karsenii (Ress) Hallen, Klo6512 K1714020 I 7 Hypochnician karsenii (Ress) Hallen, Kl06512 K1714020 I 1 2 Hyper iderase (FU)F. Kl714020 Kl714032 Kl714032 1 1 1 1 Hyper iderase (FU)F. Kl71403 Kl714036 Kl714036 1 <td>Hyphodermella rosae (Bres.) Nakasone</td> <td></td> <td>KJ714014</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>1</td> <td>I</td>	Hyphodermella rosae (Bres.) Nakasone		KJ714014		I	1	I
$prev larcas (F_1), F_1$ kT_{11402} kT_{11402} kT_{11402} kT_{11402} kT_{11403} </td <td>Hypochnicium karstenii (Bres.) Hallenb.</td> <td>KJ668512</td> <td>KJ713989</td> <td>KJ714020</td> <td>1</td> <td>7</td> <td>735</td>	Hypochnicium karstenii (Bres.) Hallenb.	KJ668512	KJ713989	KJ714020	1	7	735
Interval Karrand	<i>Irpex lacteus</i> (Fr.) Fr.			KJ714032	I	I	104
$ \begin{array}{cccc} Jeoperdon priforme Scheft, \\ Jeoperdon priforme Scheft, \\ Microporos varicipes (Back), Kuntzs \\ Microporos (Back), Kuntz \\ Microporos (Back), Kuntz \\ Microporos (Back), Kuntz \\ Onphalone (Jeoperate (Savam)), Kirchan, & K.7113981 \\ Microporos (Baul), P. Kast, \\ Doubles organics (Baul), Doubles, Felleria & M.P. Math, \\ Doubles (Fauch Mathin Mathin Mathin Mathin Mathin M.$	<i>lrpe</i> x sp.			KJ714045	I	I	4
	Lycoperdon pyriforme Schaeff.	KJ713982			1	I	I
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Microporus vernicipes (Berk.) Kuntze		KJ714006		I	1	I
Mycan pura (Pers.) P. Kam. K/113981 I	Mucronella sp.		KJ713995		I	1	I
	Mycena pura (Pers.) P. Kumm.	KJ713981			1	I	I
Panelta stipticas (Bull.) P. Karst. $K.771398$ $K.771403$ I I Peniophorati $K.771403$ $K.771403$ $K.771403$ I I Peniophorati $K.771403$ $K.771403$ $K.771403$ I I Peniophorati $K.771401$ $K.7714012$ $K.7714019$ I I Planerochaete sort $K.7714012$ $K.7714012$ $K.7714019$ I I Planerochaete sort $K.7714012$ $K.7714012$ $K.7714019$ I I I Planerochaete sort $K.7714012$ $K.7714012$ $K.7714012$ I I I Planerochaete sort $K.7714012$ $K.7714012$ $K.771403$ I I I Planerochaete sort $K.7714012$ $K.771403$ I	Omphalotus japonicus (Kawam.) Kirchm. & O.K. Mill.		KJ713987		Ι	1	Ι
Peniophora sp. $K/71403$ $K/714026$ L L Panarochaete carrow (Bun) Pamasto $K/714012$ $K/714012$ L L Phanerochaete carrow (Bun) Pamasto $K/714012$ $K/714012$ L L Phanerochaete carrow (Bun) Pamasto $K/714012$ $K/714012$ L L Phanerochaete carrow (Bun) Pamasto $K/714013$ $K/714012$ L L Phanerochaete sp. 1 $K/714013$ $K/714013$ L L Phanerochaete sp. 2 $K/714013$ $K/714010$ L L Phanerochaete sp. 3 $K/714010$ $K/714010$ L L Phanerochaete sp. 3 $K/714010$ $K/714010$ L L Phone actin Peck $K/714010$ $K/714010$ L L L	Panellus stipticus (Bull.) P. Karst.	KJ713980			1	I	I
Penicphorella pubera (Fr.) P. Karst.KJ714003KJ714013C3Phanerochaate carnosa (Burt) ParmastoKJ714013KJ71401314Phanerochaate sortida (P. Karst.) J. Erikss. & RyvardenKJ714013KJ71401311Phanerochaate sortida (P. Karst.) J. Erikss. & RyvardenKJ714013KJ714013111Phanerochaate sortida (P. Karst.) J. Eriks. & RyvardenKJ714013KJ7140131111Phanerochaate sp. 2KJ714010KJ714010KJ71401011111Phanerochaate sp. 2KJ714015KJ714010KJ71401311111Phanerochaate sp. 2KJ714015KJ714013KJ714022111111Phanerochaate sp. 2Phanerochaate sp. 2KJ714013KJ714023111 <t< td=""><td>Peniophora sp.</td><td></td><td>KJ713988</td><td>KJ714026</td><td>I</td><td>1</td><td>68</td></t<>	Peniophora sp.		KJ713988	KJ714026	I	1	68
$\label{eq:relation} Pianeochaete carroad (Buth) Parmasto (Parmasto (Parmasto (Parmasto (Parmasto (Parmasto ($	Peniophorella pubera (Fr.) P. Karst.		KJ714003		I	3	I
Phareochaete sordiad (P. Karst.) J. Erikss. & Ryvarden $KJT14012$ C 5 Phareochaete sp. 1 $KJT14013$ $KJT14013$ C 1 Phareochaete sp. 2 $KJT14013$ $KJT14013$ C 1 Phareochaete sp. 3 $KJT14013$ $KJT14010$ $ 1$ Phehia acanthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone $KJT14015$ $KJT14010$ $ 1$ Phehia acenthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone $KJT14015$ $KJT14013$ $ -$ Phehia acenthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone $KJT14015$ $KJT14013$ $ -$ Photiona sp. $KJT14015$ $KJT14013$ $KJT14022$ $ -$ Photiona sp. $KJT14023$ $ -$	Phanerochaete carnosa (Burt) Parmasto		KJ714007	KJ714019	Ι	4	306
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Phanerochaete sordida (P. Karst.) J. Erikss. & Ryvarden		KJ714012		Ι	5	Ι
$\begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Phanerochaete sp. 1		KJ714013		Ι	1	Ι
$\label{eq:response} Pinerochace sp. 3 \\ Phleheia acanthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone \\ Phleheia acanthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone \\ Fhleheia acanthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone \\ Fholiona sp. \\ Fholiona sp. \\ Furtures plantus (Weinm) Gillet \\ Fholiona sp. \\ Furtures plantus (Weinm) Gillet \\ Fholiona sp. \\ Furtures plantus (Weinm) Gillet \\ Furtures plantus (We$	Phanerochaete sp. 2		KJ713996		I	1	Ι
Phlebia acanthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone KJ714010 – 1 1 Phlebia acentra Peck KJ714015 KJ714015 – 1 3 Phlebia acertra Peck KJ714015 KJ714012 – 1 1 1 Pheloia sp. KJ71402 KJ714022 1 – 1 1 1 Photiona sp. KJ71402 KJ714022 1 <	Phanerochaete sp. 3	KJ668487			1	Ι	Ι
Phlebia acerina Peck KJ714015 - 3 Pholiota sp. KJ714034 1 - Phateus plantus (Weinm.) Gillet KJ714024 1 - Phateus plantus (Weinm.) Gillet KJ714024 1 - Polyporus diveolaris (DC.) Bondartsev & Singer KJ668478 KJ714023 - - - Postia sp. KJ71402 KJ714033 - <td>Phlebia acanthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone</td> <td></td> <td>KJ714010</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td>1</td> <td>Ι</td>	Phlebia acanthocystis Gilb. & Nakasone		KJ714010		I	1	Ι
Pholiota sp. KJ714034 I - Plateus plantus (Weinm.) Gillet KJ714022 I - Polyporus alveolaris (DC.) Bondartsev & Singer KJ668478 KJ714022 - - Polyporus alveolaris (DC.) Bondartsev & Singer KJ668478 KJ714022 - - - Positia sp. KJ714023 KJ714033 - - - - - Positia sp. KJ71401 KJ714011 KJ714027 - - - - - Schizophylum commune Fr. KJ714011 KJ714011 - <td>Phlebia acerina Peck</td> <td></td> <td>KJ714015</td> <td></td> <td>Ι</td> <td>3</td> <td>Ι</td>	Phlebia acerina Peck		KJ714015		Ι	3	Ι
Pluteus plantus (Weinm.) GilletKJ714022CCPolyporus alveolaris (DC.) Bondartsev & SingerKJ668478KJ714022CCPostia sp.KJ714033KJ714033CCCPostia sp.KJ714011KJ714027CCCRaduomyces sp.KJ714011KJ714027CCCSchizophyllum commune Fr.KJ714011KJ714047CCCSistorema brinkmannii (Bres.) J. Erikss.Sistorema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & HauerslevKJ714008KJ714041CCSistorema oblongisporum Meo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. MartínKJ714037CCCC	Pholiota sp.	KJ713979		KJ714034	1	I	19
Polyporus alveolaris (DC.) Bondartsev & Singer KJ568478 1 - Postia sp. KJ714033 - - - Postia sp. KJ714027 - - - - Radulomyces sp. KJ714027 -	Pluteus plautus (Weinm.) Gillet			KJ714022	I	I	178
Postia sp. KJ714033 - - - Radulomyces sp. KJ714027 - - - - Schizophylum commune Fr. KJ714011 KJ714047 - 1 Schizophylum commune Fr. KJ714011 KJ714047 - - 1 Schizophylum commune Fr. KJ714011 KJ714047 - - - - Sistotrema brinkmannii (Bres.) J. Erikss. KJ714008 KJ714041 - 6 Sistotrema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & Hauerslev KJ714008 KJ714028 - 6 Sistotremastrum guttuitiferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. Martín KJ714037 -	Polyporus alveolaris (DC.) Bondartsev & Singer	KJ668478			1	I	I
Radulomyces sp. KJ714027 - - - - - - 1 Schizophyllum commune Fr. KJ714011 KJ714047 - - 1 Sebacinales sp. KJ714047 KJ714047 - - - - Sistotrema brinkmannii (Bres.) J. Erikss. KJ714008 KJ714041 - 6 Sistotrema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & Hauerslev KJ714008 KJ714028 - 6 Sistotremastrum guttutiferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. Martín KJ714037 -	Postia sp.			KJ714033	Ι	I	25
Schizophyllum commune Fr. KJ714011 - 1 Sebacinales sp. KJ714047 - - - Sistotrema brinkmannii (Bres.) J. Erikss. KJ714008 KJ714041 - 6 Sistotrema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & Hauerslev KJ714028 - 6 Sistotrema strutu guttuliferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. Martín KJ714037 - - -	Radulomyces sp.			KJ714027	I	I	58
Sebacinales sp.KJ714047Sistotrema brinkmannii (Bres.) J. Erikss.KJ714008KJ714041-6Sistotrema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & HauerslevKJ714028-6Sistotremastrum guttuliferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. MartínKJ714037	Schizophyllum commune Fr.		KJ714011		I	1	Ι
Sistotrema brinkmannii (Bres.) J. Erikss. KJ714008 KJ714041 – 6 Sistotrema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & Hauerslev KJ714028 – – Sistotremastrum guttuliferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. Martín KJ714037 – – –	Sebacinales sp.			KJ714047	I	I	4
Sistotrema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & Hauerslev – – – – – – – – – – – – Sistotremastrum guttuliferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. Martín – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	Sistotrema brinkmannii (Bres.) J. Erikss.		KJ714008	KJ714041	I	9	9
Sistotremastrum guttuliferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. Martín	Sistotrema oblongisporum M.P. Christ. & Hauerslev			KJ714028	I	I	31
	Sistotremastrum guttuliferum Melo, M. Dueñas, Telleria & M.P. Martín			KJ714037	I	I	9

Table 1 (continued)

Species	GenBank accession no.	(ITS).		No. of	samples	
	Fruiting body (Fb)	Mycelial culture (Mc)	454 Sequence read (Sr)	Fb	Mc	Sr
Sistotremastrum sp. 1			KJ714018	I	I	906
Sistotremastrum sp. 2			KJ714040	Ι	Ι	9
Steccherinum sp.	KJ668452			1	I	Ι
Tremellales sp.			KJ714046	I	I	5
Trichaptum abietinum (Dicks.) Ryvarden	KJ668437			1	I	I
Trichaptum fusco-violaceum (Ehrenb.) Ryvarden	KJ668436		KJ714017	2	I	1720
Tricholomopsis flammula Métrod ex Holec	KJ713978			1	I	Ι
Tulasnella sp.		KJ714001		I	4	Ι
Tyromyces chioneus (Fr.) P. Karst.		KJ714009		I	1	I

Fig. 1 Bayesian phylogenetic tree inferred from the ITS2 region sequences of fruiting bodies (*black square*), mycelial isolates (*black circle*), and 454 sequencing reads (*black diamond*). The dataset is composed of 165 taxa and 629 characters. The GTR+I+G model was applied. For the consensus tree, 10,202 trees from a Bayesian analysis were used. The tree was rooted to the sequence of *Tremella aurantialba* ACCC 50219 (AY866425). *Numbers above or below branches* indicate posterior probabilities \geq 0.5. GenBank accession numbers of the ITS sequences are shown in parentheses

up to the order level due to the low sequence similarities to GenBank sequences (Table 1).

In total, 75 basidiomycetes species were detected from Manchurian fir using the three different detection methods (Fig. 3, Table 1). Each method yielded a similar number of species: 26 species from fruiting bodies, 32 species from mycelial isolates, and 32 species from 454 sequencing. Largely due to a difference in sample number (37 fruiting bodies, 88 mycelial isolates, and 4874 from 454 sequencing), Fisher's alpha, Shannon-Wiener, and Simpson's diversity index of fungal communities showed that fruiting body collection and mycelial isolation displayed higher diversity compared with 454 sequencing (Table 2). Shannon evenness was also higher in fruiting body collection (0.84) and mycelial isolation (0.70)than in 454 sequencing (0.27). The UPGMA clustering analysis (not presented) using Bray-Curtis similarities showed that the similarity among the communities was low (i.e., 0.003 between fruiting body collection and 454 sequencing; 0.013 between mycelial isolation and 454 sequencing) and the communities of fruiting bodies and mycelial isolates were slightly more similar (0.032).

Discussion

In this study, wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes were identified using three different methods (fruiting body collection, mycelial isolation, and 454 sequencing) to determine their diversity in Manchurian fir in Odaesan National Park. Previous studies with fruiting body collection only identified four basidiomycetous fungi from the Manchurian fir in this region: Asterostroma cervicolor, Ceriporiopsis subvermispora, Climacocystis borealis, and Polyporus brumalis [18, 29, 30]. Although those four species were not found in this study, this study revealed 75 basidiomycetous fungi which is more than two times the number of fungi detected by any single method (Table 1). Of these, 18 species were only identified to the genus level and 14 species up to the family level because of low ITS sequence similarities to the known taxa. Thus, they might represent novel taxa. At the generic level, most of the genera found in this study could also be found from other conifers such as Picea abies [1, 26, 44, 46].

Species detected as fruiting bodies were rarely detected by the other two methods (Fig. 3, Table 1). Similar results were





0.1

Fig. 1 (continued)



Fig. 2 Fungal diversity of Manchurian fir revealed by 454 sequencing. The ring chart illustrates the proportion of OTUs (*inner ring*) and proportion of sequences (*outer ring*) in each taxonomic group of fungi

obtained by Allmér et al. [1] in a comparison of species diversity from wood piles of Norway spruce determined by fruiting body collection, mycelial isolation, and ITS T-RFLP. In Rajala et al. [46], one third of the fungal species from Norway spruce logs identified by fruiting body collection overlapped with the species identified by a DGGE approach. The 454 sequencing method was also compared to fruiting body collection performed on spruce logs, and only five species were detected by 454 sequencing among the 15 species observed as fruiting bodies [42]. As noted by Allmér et al. [1] and Rajala et al. [46], the number of detected species is related to the sampling size. In this study, the sampling size for fruiting body collection was larger compared with the ones for mycelial isolation and 454 sequencing. Thus, a greater volume of Manchurian fir was covered by fruiting body collection, thus increasing the likelihood of detecting rarer species.

Conversely, 49 species found in this study were not detected as fruiting bodies. Fruiting body collection is only possible when the fruiting body is formed. Fruiting bodies often



Fig. 3 The number of wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes collected by fruiting body collection, mycelial isolation, and 454 sequencing. The *numbers in the overlapping fractions* indicate the number of species identified by overlapping two or three methods

develop after drastic changes in environmental conditions. and this environmental signal is different from species to species [27]. In addition, ephemeral fruiting bodies of gilled mushrooms and jelly fungi only remain in the environment for a short period because of a high water content, and this behaviour is dissimilar to that of the typical fruiting bodies of polyporoid and corticioid fungi. Consequently, species with more ephemeral fruiting bodies might be missed during fruiting body collection. In this study, Coprinellus spp., Dacrymyces spp., Tremellales sp., and Tyromyces chioneus, which typically have fruiting bodies with a high water content, were detected as mycelial isolates and/or 454 sequencing reads but not by fruiting body collection. Moreover, species having small and thin fruiting bodies might be missed during surveys. Here, resupinate fungi such as Hyphoderma sp., Phanerochaete spp., Phlebia spp., Sistotrema spp., and Sistotremastrum spp., which typically have small and thin fruiting bodies, were also found by mycelial isolation and/or 454 sequencing but not by fruiting body collection.

The composition of the fungal community changes during the wood decay process [13, 21]. In the early stage of decay in spruce logs, Rajala et al. [46] indicated that the wood-decay fungi that cause brown rot and white rot were dominant, whereas mycorrhizal fungi only accounted for a small proportion. In the later stages of decay, the proportion of mycorrhizal fungi increased, whereas the proportion of wood-decay fungi decreased. As stated by Rajala et al. [46], it is probably due to high competitiveness of mycorrhizal fungi against wood-decay fungi when readily accessible nutrients are scarce. The wood used in this study was relatively intact; thus, it was assumed that they were in the early stage of decay. This conclusion is indirectly supported by the mycorrhizal genera, such as Sistotrema, only accounting for a small proportion of the detected fungi (Table 1). The fungal community composition also varies according to whether it is in sapwood or heartwood [5, 26]. In this study, most of the detected species were sap-rot fungi. Heart-rot fungi, such as Fomitopsis pinicola, were only found by fruiting body collection, which might have been caused by a lack of heart rot fungi in the wood samples used for mycelial isolation and 454 sequencing or a lack of heartwood samples from the selected branches and logs of Manchurian fir.

Using 454 sequencing, 81 OTUs (5527 sequences) were detected from Manchurian fir. In Ovaskainen et al. [42], 175 OTUs (62,214 sequences) were detected in four spruce logs. Kubartová et al. [26] found 1224 OTUs in 140,000 sequences from 13 spruce logs from one site and 743 OTUs in 90,000 sequences from 12 spruce logs from another site. Although direct comparisons cannot be made with other studies because each study used different sampling strategies, the number of detected OTUs tends to increase with a greater number of analysed sequences.

 Table 2
 Diversity indices of

 wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes
 associated with Manchurian fir

Diversity index	Fruiting body	Mycelial culture	454 Sequence read
Number of taxa	26	32	32
Number of samples	37	88	4874
Fisher's alpha	38.89	18.09	4.59
Simpson's index of diversity	0.942	0.939	0.809
Shannon-Wiener	3.08	3.11	2.15
Shannon evenness	0.84	0.70	0.27

Comparing between phyla, both the number of species and number of sequences were significantly different (Fig. 2). Greater than half (48 OTUs, 59.3 %) were ascomycetous OTUs; however, their relative proportion among the sequences was only 11.7 %. Conversely, 32 OTUs (39.5 %) belonged to Basidiomycota; however, their relative proportion among the sequences was high (88.2 %). A similar tendency was observed in certain spruce logs studied by Kubartová et al. [26]. In their study, ascomycetes were more likely to be detected in a greater number of logs compared with basidiomycetes and have a low number of sequences, suggesting that only a small volume was occupied by ascomycetes in each log. Conversely, basidiomycetes were more likely to be detected in fewer logs compared with ascomycetes and have a high number of sequences, suggesting they occupied a large volume in each log. In this study, the top 6 basidiomycetous species constituted 73.6 % of the total sequences, suggesting that their mycelia occupied a large volume in Manchurian fir.

Of the 53 species detected by mycelial isolation and 454 sequencing, 11 species were detected by both methods; 21 species were only found by mycelial isolation and 21 species were only found by 454 sequencing (Fig. 3, Table 1). This incongruence may have been caused by several possible reasons. First, it is likely that because some subsamples are large ($\sim 10 \text{ cm}^3$), fragments used for mycelial isolation and 454 sequencing may be sampling a different community. Second, for 454 sequencing, the primers used to amplify the DNA may have caused biases [4, 15, 37, 49]. ITS sequences of certain taxa might have been overexpressed or underexpressed, and the DNA of certain species might not have been PCR-amplified despite occurring in the wood. Third, for mycelial isolation, certain species might not have grown on the artificial media used. We used benomyl to isolate basidiomycetous fungi and prevent the growth of other fungi. Benomyl is effective against a broad spectrum of fungi; however, certain species of basidiomycetes may not be able to tolerate it [6, 38]. Thus, certain species may not have been represented as mycelia despite occurring in the wood. On the other hand, fungi that could not be isolated with the mycelial method (dead mycelia) might have been

recoverable by the DNA-based method. Rao et al. [47] found that RNA-based methods could detect species that were active in the environment, and these methods provided different results than were obtained with DNA-based methods.

We have two major conclusions from this study. First, we find that the diversity of wood-inhabiting basidiomycetes in Manchurian fir is higher than previously known. Second, 454 sequencing, the newest and most technologically advanced method we used, does not guarantee the correct estimation of the fungal diversity; each method detected a different subset of diversity, so a combination of multiple methods provides better understanding of the total biodiversity. Further studies are required to properly estimate the diversity of woodinhabiting basidiomycetes from different stages of wood decay and to better understand their roles in forest ecosystems.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2013R1A1A2A10011390), and by a Korea University Grant. We thank Dr. Jonathan J. Fong for helpful suggestions on the manuscript.

Compliance with Ethical Standards The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest and this article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

References

- Allmér J, Vasiliauskas R, Ihrmark K, Stenlid J, Dahlberg A (2005) Wood-inhabiting fungal communities in woody debris of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.), as reflected by sporocarps, mycelial isolations and T-RFLP identification. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 55: 57–67
- Asiegbu FO, Adomas A, Stenlid J (2005) Conifer root and butt rot caused by *Heterobasidion annosum* (Fr.) Bref. s.l. Mol Plant Pathol 6:395–409
- Bader P, Jansson S, Jonsson BG (1995) Wood-inhabiting fungi and substratum decline in selectively logged boreal spruce forests. Biol Conserv 72:355–362
- Blaalid R, Kumar S, Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K, Kirk PM, Kauserud H (2013) ITS1 versus ITS2 as DNA metabarcodes for fungi. Mol Ecol Resour 13:218–224
- Boddy L (2001) Fungal community ecology and wood decomposition processes in angiosperms: from standing tree to complete decay of coarse woody debris. Ecol Bull 49:43–56

- Bollen GJ, Fuchs A (1970) On the specificity of the in vitro and in vivo antifungal activity of benomyl. Neth J Plant Pathol 76:299– 312
- Buée M, Reich M, Murat C, Morin E, Nilsson RH, Uroz S, Martin F (2009) 454 pyrosequencing analyses of forest soils reveal an unexpectedly high fungal diversity. New Phytol 184:449–456
- Chen C-J, Oberwinkler F, Chen Z-C (1999) Tremella occultifuroidea sp. nov., a new mycoparasite of Dacrymyces. Mycoscience 40:137–143
- 9. Dahllöf I (2002) Molecular community analysis of microbial diversity. Curr Opin Biotechnol 13:213–217
- Gómez-Hernández M, Williams-Linera G, Guevara R, Lodge DJ (2012) Patterns of macromycete community assemblage along an elevation gradient: options for fungal gradient and metacommunity analyses. Biodivers Conserv 21:2247–2268
- Halme P, Kotiaho JS (2012) The importance of timing and number of surveys in fungal biodiversity research. Biodivers Conserv 21: 205–219
- Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 4:1–9
- Heilmann-Clausen J (2001) A gradient analysis of communities of macrofungi and slime moulds on decaying beech logs. Mycol Res 105:575–596
- Heilmann-Clausen J, Christensen M (2004) Does size matter?: on the importance of various dead wood fractions for fungal diversity in Danish beech forests. For Ecol Manag 201:105–117
- Ihrmark K, Bödeker ITM, Cruz-Martinez K, Friberg H, Kubartová A, Schenck J, Strid Y, Stenlid J, Brandström-Durling M, Clemmensen KE, Lindahl BD (2012) New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region—evaluation by 454 sequencing of artificial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 82:666–677
- Jang Y, Lee SW, Lim YW, Lee JS, Hattori T, Kim J-J (2013) The genus Wrightoporia in Korea. Mycotaxon 123:335–341
- Johannesson H, Stenlid J (1999) Molecular identification of woodinhabiting fungi in an unmanaged *Picea abies* forest in Sweden. For Ecol Manag 115:203–211
- Jung HS (1994) Floral studies on Korean wood-rotting fungi (II): on the flora of the Aphyllophorales (Basidiomycotina). Korean J Mycol 22:62–99
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30:772–780
- Katsuki T, Zhang D, Rushforth K (2013) *Abies holophylla*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2014.3. <www. iucnredlist.org>. Downloaded on 22 January 2015
- Kebli H, Drouin P, Brais S, Kernaghan G (2011) Species composition of saproxylic fungal communities on decaying logs in the boreal forest. Microb Ecol 61:898–910
- Kim G-H, Lim YW, Choi Y-S, Kim M-J, Kim J-J (2009) Primary and secondary decay fungi on exposed pine tree logs in the forest. Holzforschung 63:633–638
- Kim G-H, Lim YW, Song Y-S, Kim J-J (2005) Decay fungi from playground wood products in service using 28S rDNA sequence analysis. Holzforschung 59:459–466
- Kim J-J, Kang S-M, Choi Y-S, Kim G-H (2007) Microfungi potentially disfiguring CCA-treated wood. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 60: 197–201
- Kim M-J, Lee H, Choi Y-S, Kim G-H, Huh N-Y, Lee S, Lim YW, Lee S-S, Kim J-J (2010) Diversity of fungi in creosote-treated crosstie wastes and their resistance to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Anton Leeuw 97:377–387
- Kubartová A, Ottosson E, Dahlberg A, Stenlid J (2012) Patterns of fungal communities among and within decaying logs, revealed by 454 sequencing. Mol Ecol 21:4514–4532

- 27. Kües U, Liu Y (2000) Fruiting body production in basidiomycetes. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 54:141–152
- Lee D-H (2013) Above- and below-ground biomass of *Abies* holophylla under different stand conditions. Life Sci J 10:751–758
- Lee JS, Kim C, Park JY, Ryoo KH, Kim KM, Yoon YG, Jung HS (2004) Unrecorded higher fungi of the Songnisan National Park. Mycobiology 32:68–73
- Lee JS, Woo EJ, Oh KH, Kim J-J, Lim YW (2010) The first report of two species of *Polyporus* (Polyporaceae, Basidiomycota) from South Korea. Korean J Mycol 48:748–753
- Li W, Fu L, Niu B, Wu S, Wooley J (2012) Ultrafast clustering algorithms for metagenomic sequence analysis. Brief Bioinform 13:656–668
- Lindahl BD, Nilsson RH, Tedersoo L, Abarenkov K, Carlsen T, Kjøller R, Kõljalg U, Pennanen T, Rosendahl S, Stenlid J, Kauserud H (2013) Fungal community analysis by high-throughput sequencing of amplified markers—a user's guide. New Phytol 199: 288–299
- Lindblad I (1998) Wood-inhabiting fungi on fallen logs of Norway spruce: relations to forest management and substrate quality. Nord J Bot 18:243–255
- Lindner DL, Burdsall HH Jr, Stanosz GR (2006) Species diversity of polyporoid and corticioid fungi in northern hardwood forests with differing management histories. Mycologia 98:195–217
- Lonsdale D, Pautasso M, Holdenrieder O (2008) Wood-decaying fungi in the forest: conservation needs and management options. Eur J For Res 127:1–22
- Maddison D, Maddison W (2005) MacClade 4: analysis of phylogeny and character evolution. Version 4.08. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland
- Mello A, Napoli C, Murat C, Morin E, Marceddu G, Bonfante P (2011) ITS-1 versus ITS-2 pyrosequencing: a comparison of fungal populations in truffle grounds. Mycologia 103:1184–1193
- Niini SS, Raudaskoski M (1993) Response of ectomycorrhizal fungi to benomyl and nocodazole: growth inhibition and microtubule depolymerization. Mycorrhiza 3:83–91
- Nilsson RH, Larsson K-H, Larsson E, Kõljalg U (2006) Fruiting body-guided molecular identification of root-tip mantle mycelia provides strong indications of ectomycorrhizal associations in two species of *Sistotrema* (Basidiomycota). Mycol Res 110:1426–1432
- 40. Nordén B, Ryberg M, Götmark F, Olausson B (2004) Relative importance of coarse and fine woody debris for the diversity of wood-inhabiting fungi in temperate broadleaf forests. Biol Conserv 117:1–10
- 41. Nylander JAA (2004) MrModeltest v2. Evolutionary Biology Center, Uppsala University, Uppsala
- 42. Ovaskainen O, Nokso-Koivisto J, Hottola J, Rajala T, Pennanen T, Ali-Kovero H, Miettinen O, Oinonen P, Auvinen P, Paulin L, Larsson K-H, Mäkipää R (2010) Identifying wood-inhabiting fungi with 454 sequencing—what is the probability that BLAST gives the correct species? Fungal Ecol 3:274–283
- Ovaskainen O, Schigel D, Ali-Kovero H, Auvinen P, Paulin L, Nordén B, Nordén J (2013) Combining high-throughput sequencing with fruit body surveys reveals contrasting life-history strategies in fungi. ISME 7:1696–1709
- Penttilä R, Siitonen J, Kuusinen M (2004) Polypore diversity in managed and old-growth boreal *Picea abies* forests in southern Finland. Biol Conserv 117:271–283
- Rachmayanti Y, Leinemann L, Gailing O, Finkeldey R (2006) Extraction, amplification and characterization of wood DNA from Dipterocarpaceae. Plant Mol Biol Report 24:45–55
- 46. Rajala T, Paltoniemi M, Pennanen T, Mäkipää R (2012) Fungal community dynamics in relation to substrate quality of decaying Norway spruce (*Picea abies* [L.] Karst.) logs in boreal forests. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 81:494–505

- Rao S, Hyde KD, Pointing SB (2013) Comparison of DNA and RNA, and cultivation approaches for the recovery of terrestrial and aquatic fungi from environmental samples. Curr Microbiol 66:185– 191
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres D, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst Biol 61:539–542
- 49. Toju H, Tanabe AS, Yamamoto S, Sato H (2012) Highcoverage ITS primers for the DNA-based identification of ascomycetes and basidiomycetes in environmental samples. PLoS ONE 7:e40863
- Vainio EJ, Hantula J (2000) Direct analysis of wood-inhabiting fungi using denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of amplified ribosomal DNA. Mycol Res 104:927–936
- Vasiliauskas R, Stenlid J (1998) Fungi inhabiting stems of *Picea* abies in a managed stand in Lithuania. For Ecol Manag 109:119– 126
- Wang CJK, Zabel RA (1990) Identification manual for fungi from utility poles in the eastern United States. Allen Press Inc., Kansas
- Worrall JJ (1991) Media for selective isolation of Hymenomycetes. Mycologia 83:296–302
- Zhou LW, Dai YC (2012) Recognizing ecological patterns of wooddecaying polypores on gymnosperm and angiosperm trees in northeast China. Fungal Ecol 5:230–235