The Dominant white, Dun and Smoky Color Variants in Chicken Are Associated With Insertion/Deletion Polymorphisms in the PMEL17 Gene

Susanne Kerje,* Preety Sharma,[†] Ulrika Gunnarsson,* Hyun Kim,[‡] Sonchita Bagchi,[§] Robert Fredriksson,** Karin Schütz,^{††} Per Jensen,^{††,1} Gunnar von Heijne,[‡] Ron Okimoto[†] and Leif Andersson^{*,§,2}

*Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, SE-751 24 Uppsala, Sweden, [†]Department of Poultry Science, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, [†]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden, [§]Department of Animal Breeding and Genetics, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-751 24 Uppsala, Sweden, **Department of Neuroscience, Uppsala University, SE-751 24 Uppsala, Sweden, and ^{††}Department of Animal Environment and Health, Section of Ethology, Swedish University of

Agricultural Sciences, SE-532 23 Skara, Sweden

Manuscript received February 24, 2004 Accepted for publication July 5, 2004

ABSTRACT

Dominant white, Dun, and Smoky are alleles at the Dominant white locus, which is one of the major loci affecting plumage color in the domestic chicken. Both Dominant white and Dun inhibit the expression of black eumelanin. Smoky arose in a White Leghorn homozygous for Dominant white and partially restores pigmentation. PMEL17 encodes a melanocyte-specific protein and was identified as a positional candidate gene due to its role in the development of eumelanosomes. Linkage analysis of PMEL17 and Dominant white using a red jungle fowl/White Leghorn intercross revealed no recombination between these loci. Sequence analysis showed that the Dominant white allele was exclusively associated with a 9-bp insertion in exon 10, leading to an insertion of three amino acids in the PMEL17 transmembrane region. Similarly, a deletion of five amino acids in the transmembrane region occurs in the protein encoded by Dun. The Smoky allele shared the 9-bp insertion in exon 10 with Dominant white, as expected from its origin, but also had a deletion of 12 nucleotides in exon 6, eliminating four amino acids from the mature protein. These mutations are, together with the recessive silver mutation in the mouse, the only PMEL17 mutations with phenotypic effects that have been described so far in any species.

OMINANT white is one of the major loci influencing plumage color in chicken (SMYTH 1990). The Dominant white color was in fact one of the first traits to be investigated following Mendel's classical work (BATEson 1902) and the mutation was assigned the gene symbol *I* for its inhibiting effect on pigmentation (HURST 1905). I is incompletely dominant to the wild-type allele, *i. Dominant white* is a breed characteristic of White Leghorns giving the birds a pure white plumage without any patterns or markings (Figure 1). Beak and shanks are yellow and the eye is brown since Dominant white affects only melanocytes of neural crest origin (SMYTH 1990). A putative third allele at the Dominant white locus, denoted Smoky (I^*S) , has been identified. It arose in a White Leghorn line fixed for Dominant white and segregation data indicated that it is allelic to I (R. OKIMOTO,

B. PAYNE and D. SALTER, unpublished results). Smoky gives a grayish phenotype and is recessive to Dominant white but partially dominant to the wild-type allele (Figure 1). Dun (I^*D) was identified as a spontaneous mutation in a Pit-gamecock bird (ZIEHL and HOLLANDER 1987). Segregation data indicated that Dun is allelic to Dominant white. Dun heterozygotes (I^*D/i) show a Dun phenotype while homozygotes are whitish.

The *I* locus has been mapped to chicken linkage group E22C19W28 (RUYTER-SPIRA et al. 1996; SCHMID et al. 2000). We have recently confirmed this assignment by linkage analysis using our intercross between the red jungle fowl and the White Leghorn chicken (KERJE et al. 2003a). Three genes, ERBB3, TUBAL1, and GLI, have been mapped to the E22C19W28 linkage group and their homologs are all located on chromosome 12 in human and chromosome 10 in mouse (SCHMID et al. 2000). These chromosome regions in human and mouse harbor Silver encoding the melanocyte-specific PMEL17 protein also denoted GP100. PMEL17 is a type I integral membrane protein present in the melanosome and is a component of the fibrous striations upon which melanins are polymerized (BERSON et al. 2003; DU et al. 2003). PMEL17 has a crucial role for the normal development

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/GenBank Data Libraries under accession nos. AY636124–AY636129.

¹Present address: Section for Biology, Linköping University, SE-581 83 Linköping, Sweden.

²Corresponding author: Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, BMC, Box 597, SE-751 24 Uppsala, Sweden. E-mail: leif.andersson@imbim.uu.se



FIGURE 1.—Birds expressing the wild-type, Dominant white, and Smoky phenotypes.

of eumelanosomes. It has been shown that the proteolytic cleavage and processing of PMEL17 accompanies the restructuring of early eumelanosomes from amorphous rounded vesicles into elongated fibrillar structures (KUSHIMOTO et al. 2001). A single-base insertion at the 3'-end of silver (encoding PMEL17) in mouse results in a premature stop codon and a truncation of the last 25 amino acids in the carboxyterminal cytoplasmic region of the protein (MARTINEZ-ESPARZA et al. 1999; SOLANO et al. 2000). silver homozygotes show graving of the hair due to loss of follicular melanocytes (QUEVEDO et al. 1981). The truncated PMEL17 protein was found in different fractions of homogenized mouse cells due to misrouting of the mutated protein. Thus, PMEL17 was identified as a positional candidate gene for the Dominant white phenotype in chicken. (We have decided to denote this locus PMEL17 and not Silver, as Silver is already used as the locus designation for another classical plumage color locus in the chicken.)

In this study we present strong evidence that *Dominant white, Dun,* and *Smoky* are all caused by mutations in *PMEL17.* Unique insertion/deletion polymorphisms (9–15 bp in length) were found in birds carrying these alleles.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals: We have generated a resource pedigree for gene mapping by crossing one red jungle fowl male and three White Leghorn females. Four F_1 males and $37 F_1$ females were intercrossed and $\sim 1000 F_2$ birds were hatched. The F_2 generation showed a considerable variation in plumage color and digital photos of 814 F_2 animals were taken and used for phenotypic classification (KERJE *et al.* 2003b). An F_3 generation was generated by intercrossing 50 females with 50 males from the F_2 population. A few F_3 individuals heterozygous at *PMEL-17* were selected to produce 15 F_4 embryos for tissue sampling. Samples for DNA and RNA isolation were collected 14 days after fertilization of the eggs. The *PMEL17* genotypes of the embryos were determined by a DNA test.

DNA samples used for sequencing are listed in Table 5. Breeds denoted by footnote b are from University of Arkansas and the Broiler line is a selection line derived from White Plymouth Rock (DUNNINGTON and SIEGEL 1996).

Sequencing of genomic DNA and cDNA: Genomic DNA was isolated by standard methods, and primers to amplify the complete *PMEL17* gene were designed on the basis of a chicken cDNA sequence (GenBank D88348). The gene was sequenced in five parts using the primer pairs P1fwd/P1rev, P2fwd/P2rev, P3fwd/P3rev or P3.1fwd/P3.1rev, P4fwd/P4rev, and P5fwd/P5rev (for primer sequences see supplementary Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/); the P3 and P3.1 primers were used to amplify genomic DNA and cDNA, respectively.

The PCR for all primer pairs except P3 was performed in a total volume of 10 µl containing ~50 ng DNA, 1× PCR buffer (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM dNTPs, 2 pmol of each primer, and 0.5 units HotStarTaq polymerase (QIAGEN). The thermocycling included 10 min at 95°, followed by 50 cycles with 30 sec at 95°, 30 sec at 65°, and 2 min at 72°, ending with 10 min at 72°. The PCR with P3 primers was performed as described above but with 1× PCR buffer II (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and 0.5 units AmpliTaq-Gold (Applied Biosystems). The thermocycling included 4 min at 95°, followed by 45 cycles with 30 sec at 95°, 30 sec at 58°, and 2 min at 72°.

Most *PMEL17* fragments were difficult to amplify by PCR due to a very high GC content (68.4% average GC content for the entire gene). These fragments were therefore cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) prior to sequencing with the T7 and M13R universal primers. For those amplicons for which direct sequencing could be applied, the PCR primers were used for sequencing. The Mega-BACE sequencing kit (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) was used for sequencing and fragments were electrophoresed using the MegaBACE 1000 capillary instrument (Amersham Biosciences) and analyzed with the Sequence Analysis software (Amersham Biosciences). Sequences were controlled, aligned, and compared using the Sequencher 3.1.1 program (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI).

PMEL17 genotyping and linkage mapping: *PMEL17* segregation was scored using the polymorphic repeat in exon 7. PCR amplification using the Pmel_exon7fwd and Pmel_exon7rev primers (Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/) gave 490- and 574-bp fragments associated with the *Dominant* white and wild-type alleles, respectively. PCR was performed as described above in a total volume of 10 μ l with 0.5 units HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (QIAGEN). Fragments were separated using a MegaBACE 1000 capillary instrument (Amersham Biosciences) and analyzed with the Genetic Profiler software (Amersham Biosciences). Microsatellite marker *MCW317* was analyzed previously (KERJE *et al.* 2003a) and the same conditions were used for *MCW188* in the present study.

The *MYG1* cDNA sequence was available in a chicken EST database (pgr1n.pk003.l20; http://www.chickest.udel.edu) and two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified by partial sequencing of the gene. A fragment containing the SNPs was amplified by PCR with primers pyMYG1Bio and pyMYG1 (Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/) prior to pyrosequencing using the SNP reagent kit protocol (Pyrosequencing AB, Uppsala, Sweden). PCR was performed as described above with 0.5 units HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (QIAGEN) but with 1.75 mM MgCl₂ and 5 pmol of each primer. The pyrosequencing primer, pyMYG1seq (Table S1 at http:// www.genetics.org/supplemental/), was designed to anneal just before the first SNP.

PMEL17, MCW188, and *MYG1* were mapped in relation to the other markers previously genotyped in the pedigree (KERJE *et al.* 2003a). The CRIMAP program (GREEN *et al.* 1990) and the functions BUILD and FLIPS were used to test the order of the markers. CHROMPIC was used to reveal unlikely recombination events.

The primer pair Pmel_exon10fwd and Pmel_exon10rev (Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/) was used for genotyping the insertion/deletion polymorphism in exon 10. The PCR was performed in a total volume of 10 μ l containing the same amount of reagents as for the PCR using genomic DNA as described above. The thermocycling included 10 min at 95°, followed by 50 cycles with 30 sec at 95°, 30 sec at 60°, and 45 sec at 72°, ending with 5 min at 72°. Fragments were separated using a MegaBACE 1000 capillary instrument (Amersham Biosciences) and analyzed with the Genetic Profiler software (Amersham Biosciences).

Expression analyses: Total RNA from 14-day-old whole embryos was isolated with the RNeasy mini kit according to the protocol for animal tissues (QIAGEN). The quality and concentration were checked with the Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA). Total RNA was treated with DNase according to the instructions for the DNA-free kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) prior to cDNA synthesis using the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Amersham Biosciences). cDNA and genomic DNA from PMEL17 heterozygotes were used for allele quantification with pyrosequencing. A 164-bp fragment containing a G/A SNP at nucleotide position 2184 in the gene was amplified using PCR with primers pyPmelBio and pyPmelrev (Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). The PCR was performed in a total volume of 15 μ l including \sim 20 ng cDNA, 1× PCR Buffer (QIAGEN), 2.5 mм MgCl₂, 200 µм dNTPs, 3 pmol of each primer, and 0.75 units HotStarTaq polymerase (QIAGEN) and the following thermocycling was applied: 10 min at 95°, 45 cycles of 30 sec at 95°, 30 sec at 61°, and 30 sec at 72°, ending with 5 min at 72°. The sequencing primer, pyPmelseq (Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/sup plemental/) was designed to anneal just in front of the SNP. The difference in expression between the two alleles was determined by the peak heights in the pyrogram.

In vitro expression of the PMEL17 transmembrane region: The so-called S-segment engineered into the *lep* gene in the pGEM1-based plasmid 67 (HESSA *et al.* 2003) was replaced with oligonucleotides encoding the predicted transmembrane domain of PMEL17 from wild type (WT; codons 707–749), *Dominant white* (WAP; codons 679–724), and *Dun* (codons 677-714) alleles. Each transmembrane segment of PMEL17 was generated by two sequential annealing reactions followed by a ligation reaction. First, six different annealing reactions composed of 13.6 µM of 5' phosphorylated, complementing primer pairs were set up (for primer sequences see Table S1 at http://www.genetics.org/supplemental/). The annealing buffer was 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 50 mM NaCl. The reaction was incubated at 85° for 10 min and slowly cooled down to 30°. Second, equal amounts of annealed primers from the first annealing reaction were mixed together (for WT, annealing reactions 1, 2, and 3; for WAP, annealing reactions 1, 4, and 6; and for Dun, annealing reactions 1, 2, and 5) and incubated at 65° for 5 min and slowly cooled down to 30°. The ligation reaction was prepared by adding the annealed product, SpeI- and KpnI-digested plasmid 67, ligation buffer, and T4 ligase (Promega, Madison, WI). The reaction was incubated at room temperature overnight. After Escherichia coli transformation and plasmid isolation, each construct was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

In vitro transcription and translation was performed as in HESSA *et al.* (2003), using 10 μ l SP6 express TnT reaction mix (Promega), 0.5 μ g of plasmid, 7.5 μ Ci ³⁵S (Amersham Bioscience), and 1 μ l (4 eq) of canine pancreatic microsomes (a gift from Masao Sakaguchi, Fukuoka, Japan), and incubating at 30° for 90 min. Translation products were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and the gel was imaged on a Fuji FLA-3000 phosphorimager (Fuji Instruments).

RESULTS

Inheritance of plumage colors: The segregation at the *Dominant while* (*I*), *Extended black* (*E*), *Barred* (*B*), and *Silver* (*S*) loci among the ~800 F_2 birds in our red jungle fowl × White Leghorn intercross has recently been reported (KERJE *et al.* 2003b). The segregation at the *I* locus did not deviate significantly from the expected 3:1 ratio, indicating that it is epistatic to the other color loci. A sex difference in plumage color due to the segregation at the sex-linked *Silver* and *Barred* loci was noted.

Linkage analysis: The P3 primers were used to amplify a part of *PMEL17* exon 7 from genomic DNA. Sequencing of PCR products revealed a difference in the number of a 72-bp repeat between the red jungle fowl and the White Leghorn. This polymorphism was used to map *PMEL17* in relation to the *Dominant white* locus. The segregation at *PMEL17* in the F₂ generation did not deviate significantly from the expected 1:2:1 ratio ($\chi^2 =$ 1.49, d.f. = 2, *P* = 0.47; see Table 2 for actual segregation data).

The linkage analysis included two loci, *MCW317* and *I*, already typed in the pedigree, and three additional markers, *MCW188*, *MYG1*, and *PMEL17*; *MYG1* was included to improve the comparative map for linkage group E22C19W28. All pairwise two-point LOD scores among these five loci were highly significant (Table 1). The two-point analysis did not indicate any recombination between *Dominant white* and *PMEL17* among 773 informative offspring (Table 1). However, a closer examination of the association between *PMEL17* genotypes and plumage color revealed three putative recom-

TABLE 2

Two-point linkage analysis of *Dominant white* (I), *PMEL17*, and marker loci on chicken linkage group E22C19W28

Marker pair		θ	Ζ
Ι	PMEL17	0	107.2
Ι	MYG1	0.13	26.4
Ι	MCW188	0.09	32.8
Ι	MCW317	0.21	6.7
PMEL17	MYG1	0.12	75.9
PMEL17	MCW188	0.10	70.8
PMEL17	MCW317	0.28	8.3
MYG1	MCW188	0.14	41.8
MYG1	MCW317	0.14	24.7
MCW188	MCW317	0.24	7.9

 θ , recombination fraction; *Z*, LOD score.

binants (Table 2). Three individuals classified as white, white with black spots, or cream (all assumed to carry the Dominant white allele) were scored as homozygous for the PMEL17 allele inherited from the red jungle fowl. However, CHROMPIC analysis revealed that these three animals (representing three different full-sib families) appeared as unlikely double recombinants. This implies that these three discrepancies from a complete association between PMEL17 and presumed I genotypes do not reflect true recombinants. The deviations may be due to a few genotype/phenotype mismatches in this large sample but it is also possible that a combination of genotypes at other loci affecting plumage color in rare cases may mimic the effect of the Dominant white allele. These three individuals were excluded from further analysis.

Multipoint analysis revealed the following map order and sex-averaged map distances (in Kosambi centimorgans) for this linkage group: MCW317 - 19.5 - MYG1 - 12.8 - I/PMEL17 - 11.5 - MCW188 with no recombination between PMEL17 and I. This order was supported by a LOD score >6 compared with all other possible orders.

Association between PMEL17 genotypes and plumage color: The very close linkage between PMEL17 and Dominant white allowed us to investigate the association between PMEL17/Dominant white genotypes and plumage color (Table 2). There was a marked sexual dimorphism for plumage color in this pedigree as reported in our previous study of the association between MC1R genotypes and variation in plumage color (KERJE *et al.* 2003b). Therefore, the data are presented separately for each sex. However, all individuals, irrespective of sex and scored as colored, were homozygous (J/J) for the jungle fowl allele at PMEL17 (Table 2). More than 90% of the females scored as PMEL17 W/Wwere purely white whereas only ~60% of the heterozygotes (J/W) were purely white. The Dominant white allele appeared

Plumage color and *PMEL17* genotype distributions in the F_2 generation of a White Leghorn/red jungle fowl intercross

		P	MEL1	7	
Phenotype class	<i>I</i> genotype ^{<i>a</i>}	<i>W/W</i>	J/W	J/J	Total
	Females				
White	I/-	91	120	1^d	212
Cream ^b	I/-	5	35	1^d	41
White with black spots	I/-	0	33	1^d	34
Colored	i/i	0	0	103	103
Total for females		96	188	106	390
	Males				
White	I/-	32	46	0	78
Cream ^b	I/-	12	13	0	25
White with red/brown	I/-	46	155	0	201
White with black spots	I/-	0	2	0	2
Colored	i/i	0	0	77	77
Total for males		90	216	77	383
Total across sex		186	404	183	773

J, allele inherited from red jungle fowl; *W*, allele inherited from White Leghorn.

^a Presumed genotype at the *Dominant white* (I) locus.

^{*b*} Cream is a pale red/yellow phenotype with no black pigment (see KerJE *et al.* 2003b).

^e This group includes birds that were black, gray, wild type and barred (KERJE *et al.* 2003b).

^d These animals appeared as unlikely double recombinants in the linkage analysis.

less dominant in males since only $\sim 30\%$ of the animals scored as *PMEL17 W/W* and only $\sim 20\%$ of the *J/W* heterozygotes were purely white. This sex difference is at least partially explained by the different genotype distributions at the sex-linked *Barred* and *Silver* loci. Fifty percent of the female F₂ birds were hemizygous for these dominant alleles inherited from the White Leghorn F₀ females. In males, 50% of the F₂ animals were heterozygous for *Barred* and *Silver*, but none were homozygous, and it is well known that males that are heterozygous at these loci are less white than homozygotes.

Some phenotypes, such as white with black spots, were almost entirely composed of heterozygous animals. Most animals that scored as partially white expressed red colors.

Sequence analysis of *PMEL17* using genomic DNA: Sequencing of *PMEL17* using genomic DNA from birds carrying the wild-type, *Dominant white, Dun,* or *Smoky* allele revealed a considerable amount of polymorphism (Table 3). The sequenced region started 32 bp before the start codon and included 111 bp of the 3' UTR. Exon and intron borders were determined by comparing genomic and cDNA sequences obtained in this study with the chicken *PMEL17* cDNA sequence D88348 and the EST sequences BU217288 and BU315027 (all from GenBank). The comparison revealed that the chicken

TABLE 1

TABLE 3

DNA sequence polymorphism in PMEL17 among chicken breeds

Exon/intron	Nucleotide position	Red jungle fowl (<i>i/i</i>)	Black Langshan (<i>i/i</i>)	Broiler line (<i>i/i</i>)	White Leghorn (<i>I/I</i>)	Smoky (S/S)	Dun (D/D)
Intron 1	116	С	Т				
	120	А	G				G
	172	Т	С	Υ			С
	189	С		Υ			
	195	Т	С				С
	209	G					А
	211	G	Т				Т
	305	А	G				G
	311	С	А				А
	356	G	С	S			С
	388	Т					С
	454	С	Т				
Exon 2	676	Т	С	Υ			
	680	С					Т
Intron 2	798	С	G	G			G
Exon 3	905	С	Т	Υ			
	942	G					А
Intron 3	1033	А					Т
	1035	А					G
	1041	А					G
	1043	G					А
	1045	Т					А
	1047	G					А
	1065	G					А
	1079			\mathbf{D}_{12}	\mathbf{D}_{12}	\mathbf{D}_{12}	\mathbf{D}_{12}
Intron 4	1291	А	G	G			
	1315	С		G			G
Intron 5	1569		\mathbf{D}_{22}	$\mathbf{D}_{44}{}^a$	\mathbf{D}_{22}	\mathbf{D}_{22}	\mathbf{D}_{44}
	1575	G	А		А	А	
	1626	С		Т			
Exon 6	1684	С		Т			
	1793	С	Т	Т			
	1811	G			А	А	
	1828					\mathbf{D}_{12}	
	1872	С		Y			
	2102	С	Т				
	2184	А	G	G	G	G	
Intron 6	2312	С		Т			
	2369	Т					G
Exon 7	2464	С	Т				
	2473	А	G	G	G	G	
	2489	r_4	r_3	r_3	\mathbf{r}_3	r_3	
	2864	G		А			
Intron 7	2982	А	G				
	2983	G	С				
	2993	G	А				
Exon 8	3072	G	А				
Intron 8	3236	G		А			
	3246	А	G	G			
	3270		\mathbf{I}_4	I_4			
	3289	С	Т				
	3292	А	С	С			
	3308	С	Т	Т			
	3329	*4	$G+*_2$	$G+*_2$			
Exon 9	3346	G	С	С			
	3370	G		А			
	3373	С		Т			

(continued)

TA	BL	E	3
----	----	---	---

(Continued)

Exon/intron	Nucleotide position	Red jungle fowl (<i>i/i</i>)	Black Langshan (<i>i/i</i>)	Broiler line (<i>i/i</i>)	White Leghorn (I/I)	Smoky (S/S)	Dun (D/D)
Intron 9	3561	G		С			
	3564	Т		А			
	3582	Т		G			
Exon 10	3671				\mathbf{I}_9	I_9	
	3695				-	-	D_{15}
Intron 10	3741	Т	С	Υ			
Exon 11	3819	С					Т

The *Dominant white* genotypes are given in parentheses. A blank space indicates identity to the master sequence (red jungle fowl). Heterozygous positions: S, G/C; Y, C/T. Length polymorphisms: D_x , deletion; x, number of deleted bases; I_x , insertion; x, number of inserted bases; r_x , 72-bp repeat; x, number of repeats; $*_x$, CA repeat.

^a This animal was heterozygous for the deletion.

gene has 11 exons like human *Silver* (PMEL17) but the gene is only 4.1 kb compared to 11.8 kb in human (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/LocusLink/) due to smaller introns. We noted that the chicken D88348 GenBank sequence (MocHII *et al.* 1991) contains a likely sequencing error since it is missing the two last nucleotides in exon 8, which leads to a frameshift. We are convinced that the sequence reported in this article is correct since it was confirmed using both genomic DNA and cDNA, and it gives a better alignment with the corresponding mammalian homologs. The last 377 nucleotides of EST BU217288 do not show any similarity with any of the other chicken *PMEL17* sequences and probably represent a cloning artifact (data not shown).

We identified a total of 56 SNPs and eight insertion/ deletion polymorphisms across populations (Table 3). There was no sequence difference between the White Leghorn lines L13 from Sweden and ADOL from the United States. The White Leghorn and the red jungle fowl sequences differed from each other at six positions but only two of these were uniquely associated with the *Dominant white* allele, a synonymous substitution at position 1836 in exon 6 and a 9-bp insertion in exon 10. The *Smoky* allele was identical to *Dominant white* with the exception of a unique 12-bp deletion in exon 6. *Dun* was associated with a *PMEL17* sequence that was clearly distinct from *Dominant white* and that possessed 13 unique SNP alleles and a unique 15-bp deletion in exon 10.

The variable positions at the amino acid level are shown in Table 4. Seven missense mutations were observed in total but none was exclusively associated with

				·				•			
		Com	positior	n of rep	eats						
Repeat type A Repeat type B Repeat type C	TAG IV-	ATDG: 	DAVGP:	ΓΑΑΑΤ <i>Α</i>	AESIADP						
	Amino acid residue										
Breed	34	35	105	232	280-4	399	Repeat	586	723-5	731-5	740
Red jungle fowl (i/i)	S	А	G	А	PTVT	Ν	A-A-A-C	Е		LGTAA	R
Black Langshan (<i>i/i</i>)	Р	_	_	_	_	D	A-B-A	_	_	_	_
Broiler line (i/i)	_	_	_	V	_	D	A-A-C	Κ	_	_	_
White Leghorn (I/I)	_	_	_	_	_	D	A-B-A	_	WAP	_	_
Smoky (S/S)	_	_	_	_	del	D	A-B-A	_	WAP	_	_
Dun (D/D)	-	V	S	-	-	-	-	-	_	del	С

 TABLE 4

Amino acid polymorphisms in the chicken PMEL17 protein

(Top) Alignment of the corresponding amino acid sequences for the three different forms of the 72-bp repeat occurring in chicken *PMEL17* exon 7. (Bottom) Polymorphism among six chicken breeds (the *Dominant white* genotypes are given in parentheses). A dash indicates identity to the master sequence and dots indicate deletions.

the *Dominant white* or *Smoky* alleles. Three missense mutations were found only in the *Dun* allele. The repeat comprising 24 amino acids showed both sequence variation and variation in the number of repeated copies (Table 4). The polymorphic nature of this repeated region does not appear functionally important since there was no correlation between repeat-type composition and effect on plumage color. The 9-bp insertion, exclusively associated with *Dominant white* and *Smoky*, resulted in the insertion of the amino acid triplet WAP in the transmembrane region. Interestingly, the 15-bp deletion associated with *Dun* also alters the transmembrane region and leads to a deletion of five amino acids. The unique 12-bp deletion in exon 6, associated with *Smoky*, causes a deletion of four amino acids.

An alignment of the chicken sequence with the homologous sequences in humans and mouse shows that some parts of the PMEL17 protein are well conserved between birds and mammals whereas other parts are not, and these are hard to align (Figure 2). The proteolytic cleavage site dividing PMEL17 into an α - and β chain (BERSON *et al.* 2003) is well conserved between species. The *Dominant white, Dun,* and *Smoky* insertion/ deletions all disrupt fairly well-conserved regions of PMEL17.

Sequence polymorphisms across breeds: The polymorphic 72-bp repeat in exon 7 and the insertion/ deletion polymorphisms in exon 10 associated with Dominant white and Dun were screened across breeds (Table 5). A surprisingly high degree of variation in the number of repeats was found, ranging from one repeat in the Fayoumi to four in several breeds. It is also clear that Dominant white has the same composition of repeats, two A and one B repeat, as do Black Langshan and Rhode Island Red that carry the recessive *i* allele. This finding excludes the repeat region from being causative for the Dominant white phenotype at least on its own. In contrast, the insertion in exon 10 was found only in the three different breeds carrying Dominant white (one broiler and two White Leghorn lines) and in the Smoky allele assumed to be derived from Dominant white. The 15-bp deletion in exon 10 was found associated only with the Dun allele.

Expression analysis of *PMEL17***:** Total RNA was isolated from 2-week embryos from the F_4 generation with the genotypes I/I, I/i, and i/i. Sequence analysis of the entire *PMEL17* coding sequence from the two homozygotes (I/I and i/i) showed that both transcripts were expressed and the sequence differences detected using genomic DNA were confirmed. No other sequence difference or variant transcripts were detected.

To investigate a possible difference in the level of *PMEL17* expression associated with the *I* and *i* alleles, we took advantage of the synonymous SNP at nucleotide position 2184 in exon 6 that distinguishes the two alleles in our pedigree (Table 3). The relative expression of

the two alleles in nine heterozygous individuals was quantified by pyrosequencing of RT-PCR products. The quantitative analysis of the peak heights indicated an 8% higher expression of the *Dominant white* allele whereas control reactions using genomic DNA from the same animals did not indicate a significant difference in amplification efficiency between alleles. However, it is very unlikely that this minor difference in expression level can cause the dramatic phenotypic difference observed between Dominant white and wild-type chicken (Figure 1).

Membrane insertion of mutant PMEL17 transmembrane segments: To test whether the observed insertion/deletion polymorphisms in PMEL17 exon 10 of Dominant white and Dun disrupted the formation of the transmembrane helix, insertion into ER-derived rough microsomes was analyzed by in vitro transcription/translation (Figure 3). Briefly, the transmembrane segment of PMEL17 (denoted PMEL in Figure 3A) was inserted into the luminal P2 domain of the well-characterized integral membrane protein leader peptidase (Lep), where it is flanked by two Asn-X-Thr acceptor sites for N-linked glycosylation (G1, G2). The P2 domain is efficiently translocated into the lumen of the microsomes when wild-type Lep is translated in the presence of rough microsomes (JOHANSSON et al. 1993). If the PMEL17-derived segment forms a transmembrane helix that is inserted into the microsomal membrane, only the G1 site is glycosylated by the lumenally disposed oligosaccharyl transferase (Figure 3A, top left), whereas both the G1 and the G2 sites are modified if the PMEL17-derived segment fails to form a transmembrane helix (Figure 3A, top right; cf. SÄÄF et al. 1998).

As shown in Figure 3B, the PMEL17-derived segments representing all three alleles (wild type, *Dominant white*, and *Dun*) were able to form transmembrane segments since the predominant form of the Lep-PMEL17 protein was glycosylated on only one site. For the *Dun* allele, a small fraction of the molecules was glycosylated on both sites, indicating that this variant is near the threshold where the formation of the transmembrane helix will be compromised.

DISCUSSION

This study has shown that mutations in *PMEL17* are causing the Dominant white, Dun, and Smoky plumage phenotypes in chicken. This conclusion is supported by a number of facts. All colored birds among the \sim 800 F₂ progeny in our red jungle fowl/White Leghorn intercross were homozygous for the *PMEL17* allele inherited from the red jungle fowl. All F₂ birds expressing any black pigment carried at least one copy of the wild-type *PMEL17* allele. Furthermore, an identical *PMEL17* sequence was associated with *Dominant white* in two different White Leghorn lines and a broiler line. The lack of convincing recombinants among \sim 800 F₂ birds and

	Signal sequ	lence						
chicken_i	MRLHGAIVLL	AALLALVTAQ	QRGGGRSRGG	VKGSAWGGRP	APFRSWDTAR	YRPWQEGTAR	QNDCWRGGDV	TFDISNDAPT
chicken I								
chicken S								
chicken D				V				
Luncken_D	MDLULKDO	TTU WTO T	TAV ADVIDD	NODWIGUG	TOWKA NDOT	DTI TI 0	DT O	01 /01 /0
numan	MDLVLKRC	PPHAIG-P	LAV-AIKVPR	NQDWLGVS-Q	LRIKA-NRQL	-PE-IQ	RLQ-	SLKVG
mouse	M-VQRRS	LTDATAT2-T	LAV-ALEGSR	NQDWLGVP-Q	LVTKT-NRQL	-PE-TVQ	GSNQ-	SLRVIG
mouse_silv	M-VQRRS	FLPVLVLS-L	LAV-ALEGSR	NQDWLGVP-Q	LVTKT-NRQL	-PE-TVQ	GSNQ-	SLRVIG
chicken i	LVGARATFSI	ALRFPGTOTV	LPDGRVVWSO	NCTVNGTRML	OGDPVYPEOL	AEGSDGVFPD	GOPFPRSAWG	KRGRFVYVWW
chicken T								
chickon C								
chicken_D								
chicken_D								
human	-IN-S	NS-K-	Q-I-VN	-TIISQVW	G-QQET	DDACI	-G-C-SGS-S	QKRSK
mouse	N-S	HS-K-	Q-I-AN	-TIISQVW	G-QQEP	DDAC	-G-C-SGPKP	PKRSK
mouse silv	N-S	HS-K-	Q-I-AN	-TIISQVW	G-QOEP	DDAC	-G-C-SGPKP	PKRSK
			ti t anta ante					
chicken i	TWORVHOUT	CATSOLTVOT	DOVALGOVTM	FINNVHVPCP	OPETDICHAS	TORSTTDOVD	TAVDUTOLEV	AAGDGGGEVR
chicken_I	THORTHOUD	ONIDOTIAGI	DOVADODIIN	BVVVIIIIKGK	QULTITOTHO	TÕLDTIDÕAL	THADAIGDEA	AAGDGGGDIVIK
chicken_1								
chicken_S								
chicken_D								
human	QLG	-PV-G-SI	GRAMTH	TRS	RSYV-LA-S-	SA-T	FS-S-SRA	LD-GNKH-L-
mouse	KLG	-PV-RSSIA-	GHAKTH	TRS	-SYV-LA	ST-T	FS-S-SOA	LD-ETKH-L-
moure gily	KI.G	-DV-P-STA-	CHAK TH	TPS	-SVV-LA	ST-T	FS-S-S0A	LD-FTKH-L-
mouse_stry	N LO	IV R DIR	ounic in	1 1 0	OIV III	U 1 1	10000 24	DD DING D
chicken_1	NRPVAFNVRL	HDPSHYLRDA	DISYSWDFGD	QSGTLISRSP	TVTHTYLQAG	SFAARLVLQA	AIPLSSCGTS	APPVVDPTTG
chicken_I								
chicken S								
chicken D								
human -	-0-LT-ALO-	GAE-	-LT	SAL	VEP-	PVT-OV	S-	PV-
mouldo	U II NIO	C AF	T T	CT AL	D FC	WT OV	V C	DV
mouse	-H-DI-ADQ-	GAE-	-111	OTAL	DES-	- 01-Q0		FV
mouse_silv	-H-LI-ALQ-	GAE-	-LT	GTAL	DES-	- VT-QV	S-	PV
chicken i	PVPSLGPTAT	QPVGPTGSGT	ATAPSNLTGS	GTAAAPGTTA	APRASGAPAE	PTGVSVAVLS	DSAATEPLPD	PVLSTAVANA
chicken I								D-
chicken S								D-
chicken_D			1022140040000000000000000					
Chicken_D								
human		T-DGHR	PEAPN-TA	-QVPTTEVVG	TTPGQAPT	-S-TTSVQVP	TTEVISTA-V	QMPTAESTGM
mouse		T-DGYM	PEAPG-T-	RQGTTTKVVG	TTPGQMPTTQ	-S-TT-VQMP	TTEV-ATTSE	QM-T
mouse silv		T-DGYM	DFADG-T-	POCTTTRUC	TTPCOMPTTO	-S-TT-VOMP	TTEV-ATTSE	OM-T
			T DHEO I	KOOTITKAAQ	TTTOQUETTQ	O TT AGUIT	TTTA	*·· · · · · · · · ·
		101 SU SU D. 2027 SU	r Brito i	RUGITIRVVG	1110001110	5 II VQM	Repeat 1	1950 - CANARANA
chicken i	AAGTDPTADP	LPPTSVSSGG	DAPGTVAPTA	VEGSVAAGVG	TAEDVAAATP	GATAADVAVD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV	GPTAAATAES
chicken_i	AAGTDPTADP	LPPTSVSSGG	DAPGTVAPTA	VEGSVAAGVG	TAEDVAAATP	GATAADVAVD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV	GPTAAATAES
chicken_i chicken_I	AAGTDPTADP	LPPTSVSSGG	DAPGTVAPTA	VEGSVAAGVG	TAEDVAAATP	GATAADVAVD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV	GPTAAATAES
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S	AAGTDPTADP	LPPTSVSSGG	DAPGTVAPTA	VEGSVAAGVG	TAEDVAAATP	GATAADVAVD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV	GPTAAATAES
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D	AAGTDPTADP	LPPTSVSSGG	DAPGTVAPTA	VEGSVAAGVG	TAEDVAAATP	GATAADVAVD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV	GPTAAATAES
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human	AAGTDPTADP	LPPTSVSSGG	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE	VEGSVAAGVG	TAEDVAAATP	GATAADVAVD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse	AAGTDPTADP	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST	DAPGTVAPTA DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR	VEGSVAAGVG 	TAEDVAAATP	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LOSS-GSI
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST	PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR	VEGSVAAGVG	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE	GATAADVAVD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL (CP-ASPL	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST	DAPGTVAPTA DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL	GPTAAATAES
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2	DAPGTVAPTA 	-SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	-SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTE V-QATTE TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	-SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D buman	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	-SIV-LS-TT -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	-SIV-LS-TT -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA	-SIV-LS-TT -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE. V-QATTTE. TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	-SIV-LS-TT -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE. V-QATTTE. TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA KRQAPEAEPT	CCVLYRYGTF	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA 	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_I	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	CSIV-LS-TT SIV-LS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA 	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL L-QSS-GSI L-QSS-GSI ESTADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_S	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA KRQAPEAEPT	CCVLYRYGTF	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD CONTROLOGIC	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_S	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTT - R TEGTGTT - R Rej TAESIADPTA 	CCVLYRYGTF	TAEDVAAATP A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA STELNIVQGI	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD ESVAIVQVVP	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA 	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_J chicken_J	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 CDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA 	CCVLYRYGTF	TAEDVAAATPA-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD ESVAIVQVVP	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA 	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESTADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_I chicken_J human mouse mouse_silv chicken_J chicken_I chicken_I chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_D human	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA KRQAPEAEPT KRQAPEAEPTV-LD	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP 	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD CONTRACTOR ESVAIVQVVP CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV E-DAF	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	Contraction of the second seco	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA STELNIVQGI 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL ELTVTCEGSL
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_I chicken_J	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 CDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	CCVLYRYGTF	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAVGPTAAATA SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESTADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_J human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_J chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA KRQAPEAEPT V-LD V-LD	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S- S-	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse schicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_D chicken_I	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV R IM AECRTAOMOT	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTT - R TEGTGTT - R TAESIADPTA TAESIADPTA 	COLVLROPFNO	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF SSE-DAF SSE-DAF	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL ELTVTCEGSL
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_J chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA TAESIADPTA 	Contraction of the second seco	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAVEGTAAATA SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF SSE-DAF	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL S-Q-G- S-Q-G- S-Q-G- PAASGTTLTV
chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_J human mouse_silv chicken_J chicken_I chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_D chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV R IM AECRTAQMQT	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTT - R TEGTGTT - R TAESIADPTA TAESIADPTA 	CSIV-LS-TT -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ	TAEDVAAATP A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA STELNIVQGI STELNIVQGI 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV E-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL ELTVTCEGSL
chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_S chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_S chicken_S chicken_S chicken_S chicken_S chicken_S	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTT - R TEGTGTT - R Rej TAESIADPTA 	CSIV-LS-TT SIV-LS-TT SIV-LS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF CONTRACTOR C	TAEDVAAATPA-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE V-QATTTE TAAATAESIA	GATAADVAVD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AVGPTAAATA SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF SSE-DAF	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA KRQAPEAEPT KRQAPEAEPTV-LD CSAVAPAPGC	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ S	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS STHVAVGGAS	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_D human mouse chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse shuman mouse	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD PEEVCTVVAD 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	T EATO 1 DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTT - R Reg TAESIADPTA TAESIADPTA KRQAPEAEPT V-LD CSAVAPAPGC QP-L-S-A- S-P-S-D	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT S S QLVLRQDFNQ S S QLVLRQDFNQ H-ILKG HVLKG	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD =	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS CLIMP-QE QLIMP-QE QLV-P-OD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL ELTVTCEGSL AGLGQVP-I- GGLGQAP-L-
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_J chicken_J chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD PEEVCTVVAD 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA TAESIADPTA KRQAPEAEPT 	CSIV-LS-TT -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP 	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS CLIMP-QE CLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_i chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TS PS-T	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS STHVAVGGAS CLIMP-QE QLV-P-QD QLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL ELTVTCEGSL
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD- SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD PEEVCTVVAD PEEVCTVVAD 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST INT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR KEQAPEAEPT 	CVEGSVAAGVG 	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS STHVAVGGAS CLIMP-QE QLIMP-QE QLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_S chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD PEEVCTVVAD K-A-MEISS K-A-MDISS membrane re GLLLIAA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA TAESIADPTA 	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ H-ILKG H-VLKG region APTAPRPHSW	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ETRELPIP 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS CLIMP-QE QLV-P-QD QLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL
chicken_i chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA TAVSSGSATA FEEVCTVVAD K-A-MEISS .K-A-MDISS .K-A-MDISS .K-A-MDISS .K-A-MDISS	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT S 	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV E-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS QLIMP-QE QLIMP-QD QLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_J chicken_J chicken_J chicken_I chicken_J	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD PEEVCTVVAD FK-A-MEISS .K-A-MDISS membrane r GLLLIAA WAP	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	CVEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ S- S QLVLRQDFNQ H-ILKG H-VLKG CCULKG CCULKG	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS STHVAVGGAS CLIMP-QE QLV-P-QD QLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_I chicken_J human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD PEEVCTVVAD R-A-MEISS .K-A-MDISS membrane re GLLLIAA WAP	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ S QLVLRQDFNQ H-ILKG H-VLKG cegion APTAPRPHSW	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS STHVAVGGAS CUV-P-QD QLV-P-QD QLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA ELTVTCEGSL S-Q-G- S-Q-G- S-Q-G- Trans- PAASGTTLTV AGLGQVP-I- GGLGQAP-L- GGLGQAP-L- MX*
chicken_i chicken_I chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_S chicken_i chicken_i chicken_f chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI chickenI c	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD K-A-MEISS K-A-MDISS K-A-MDISS GLLLIAA GLLLIAA	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT PS-TT S S S QLVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ H-ILKG H-VLKG H	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS CUV-P-QD QLV-P-QD QLV-P-QD QLV-P-QD	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA
chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_i chicken_i chicken_i chicken_i chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat TADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD K-A-MEISS K-A-MDISS K-A-MDISS Membrane re GLLLIAA 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLV GATAEPLV GATAEPLV GATAEPLV GATAEVVV GATAEVVV GATAEVVV GATAEVVVV GATAEVVVVV GATAEVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVV	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR Rej TAESIADPTA 	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF 	A-QVTTTEWV V-QATTTE. V-QATTTE. TAAATAESIA STELNIVQGI STELNIVQGI 	GATAADVAVD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV SE-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS CUV-P-QD QLV-P-QD CESSPLLRAN SCO	GPTAAATAES
chicken_i chicken_J chicken_S chicken_D human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_I chicken_I chicken_J human mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_J chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv chicken_I chicken_S chicken_D human mouse mouse_silv	AAGTDPTADP TPEKV-VSEV SAV Repeat IADPTAGATD GPLLDGT SPLLDDTD SPLLDDTD TAVSSGSATA TAVSSGSATA PEEVCTVVAD K-A-MEISS .K-A-MDISS Rmbrane re GLLLIAA GLLLIAA 	LPPTSVSSGG MGT-LAEMST IDT-LAEVST 2 GDAVGPTAAA GDAVGPTAAA GATAEPLLLV GATAEPLLLV 	DAPGTVAPTA PEATGMT-AE TEGTGTTR TEGTGTTR TAESIADPTA 	VEGSVAAGVG -SIV-LS-TT PS-TT PS-TT peat 3 GATDGDAVGP GCVLYRYGTF S S QLVLRQDFNQ H-ILKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG H-VLKG 	TAEDVAAATP 	GATAADVAVD ET RELPIP Repeat 4 DPIVGATDGD 	Repeat 1 TAGATDGDAV EPEGP-ASSI GP-ASPL GP-ASPL AVGPTAAATA AAPEGSGNSV E-DAF FSE-DAF SSE-DAF STHVAVGGAS CUV-P-QD QLIMP-QE QLV-P-QD CESSPLLRAN CSC 2000 CESSPLLRAN	GPTAAATAES MS-ESI-GSL LQSS-GSI ESIADPTAGA

TABLE 5

Polymorphic sequence motifs in *PMEL17* among chicken breeds with different alleles at the *Dominant white* locus

Breed	Allele	Exon 7 repeat ^a	Exon 10
Red jungle fowl, zoo population	i	A-A-A-C	А
Smyth Brown Line ^{b}	i	A-A-A-C	А
Dun^c	D	A-A-A-C	С
White Leghorn, L13 and ADOL ^b	Ι	A-B-A	В
Polish Buff Laced ^b	Ι	A-B-A	В
Commercial broiler, line A^b	Ι	A-B-A	В
$Smoky^b$	S	A-B-A	В
Rhode Island Red ^b	i	A-B-A	А
Black Langshan ^b	i	A-B-A	А
Broiler line, White Plymouth	i	A-A-C	А
Rock			
Japanese Phoenix ^b	i	A-A-C	А
Light Brahma ^b	i	A-A-C	А
White Crested Black Polish ^b	i	A-A-C	А
New Hampshire Red ^b	i	A-A-C	А
Commercial broiler, line B^b	i	A-A-C	А
Red jungle fowl, line UCD001 ^b	i	A-A	А
Black Australorp ^{b}	i	A-A	А
Commercial broiler, line C^b	i	A-A	А
Fayoumi ^b	i	А	А

A, wild-type sequence; B, WAP insertion; C, LGTAA deletion.

^a See Table 4.

^b From the University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR.

the complete association between the presence of *Domi*nant white and certain PMEL17 sequence variants across breeds implies that the causative mutations must be in the very close vicinity of PMEL17. An inspection of the corresponding regions in human and mouse does not reveal any other candidate genes with an established function in the melanocyte. Furthermore, Dun arose independently of Dominant white but phenotypic similarities and segregation data indicate that the two mutations are allelic. The observation of a unique deletion in Dun occurring in the near vicinity of the WAP insertion associated with Dominant white is very intriguing. Finally, Smoky appeared in a White Leghorn line and is assumed to reflect a revertant allele at the Dominant white locus. The observation that this allele shares the PMEL17 sequence with Dominant white but in addition carries a unique 12-bp deletion in the PMEL17 exon 6 strongly supports our conclusion that PMEL17 causes these plumage color variants.

The phenotypic expressions of *Dominant white* and *Dun* are also consistent with previous data on the role

of PMEL17 in eumelanogenesis in the mouse. Our observation that all birds expressing any black pigment (eumelanin) carried at least one copy of the wild-type *PMEL17* allele implies that *Dominant white* blocks the production of eumelanin but allows production of red pheomelanin. This result is in perfect agreement with the general knowledge that *Dominant white* as well as *Dun* primarily inhibits the production of black pigment (ZIEHL and HOLLANDER 1987; SMYTH 1990). Interestingly, the *silver* mutation in the mouse also affects primarily the production of eumelanin (SILVERS 1979). These phenotypic effects in the chicken and mouse mutants are consistent with the crucial role of PMEL17 (alias GP100) in the development of eumelanosomes but not of pheomelanosomes (KOBAYASHI *et al.* 1995).

Our data strongly suggest that the observed insertion/ deletion polymorphisms in exons 6 and 10 are causative for the Dominant white and Smoky phenotypes. The causative nature of these mutations is supported by the complete associations observed and by the fact that we have sequenced all exons and all introns. Furthermore,

FIGURE 2.—Alignment of the PMEL17 amino acid sequence associated with the wild-type (I^*i) allele present in the red jungle fowl (RJF), and the *Dominant white* (I^*I), *Dun* (I^*D), and *Smoky* (I^*S) alleles in chicken in comparison with human (S73003) and mouse (NM_021882) sequences including the mouse *silver* allele (AF119092). Sequence identities are indicated by dashes and insertion/deletion differences are indicated by dots. The signal sequence, the four copies of the 24-amino-acid repeat in chicken, the transmembrane, and the cytoplasmic region are indicated. The arrow indicates the proteolytic cleavage site that generates an aminoterminal M α and a carboxyterminal M β fragment. The insertion/deletion polymorphisms associated with *Dominant white*, *Dun*, and *Smoky* are boxed.

Δ



WT: GASPAASGTTLTVGLL...LIAAALGTAAYTYRRVKYSPLLPTAPT DUN: GASPAASGTTLTVGLL...LIAAA....YTYRCVKYSPLLPTAPT DW: GASPAASGTTLTVGLLWAPLIAAALGTAAYTYRRVKYSPLLPTAPT



FIGURE 3.—Integration of PMEL17 transmembrane segments into microsomal membranes. (A) Wild-type Lep has two N-terminal TM segments (H1, H2) and a large luminal domain (P2). PMEL17-derived segments corresponding to the transmembrane region (PMEL) were inserted between residues 226 and 253 in the P2 domain. Glycosylation acceptor sites (G1, G2) were placed in positions 96–98 (Asn-Ser-Thr) and 258-260 (Asn-Ala-Thr), flanking the PMEL segment. For constructs with a PMEL segment that integrates into the membrane, only the G1 site is glycosylated (top left), whereas both the G1 and G2 sites are glycosylated for PMEL segments that do not integrate in the membrane (top right). (B) Membrane integration of PMEL17-derived transmembrane segments. Plasmids encoding the Lep-PMEL17 constructs were transcribed and translated in vitro in the absence (-RM) and presence (+RM) of dog pancreas rough microsomes. 0G, unglycosylated protein; 1G, singly glycosylated protein; 2G, doubly glycosylated protein. Molecular weight markers are shown in the first lane. WT, wild type; DW, Dominant white.

the expression analysis did not reveal any altered transcripts or any marked differences in expression levels in early embryos. *Dun* was found to be associated with three unique missense mutations at codons 35, 105, and 740 as well as with a unique deletion of five amino acids in the transmembrane region (Figure 2). The genetic data do not reveal which of these mutations is the causative one, but on the basis of the phenotypic similarity to *Dominant white* we postulate that the deletion in the transmembrane region is the most likely causative mutation. We used the TMHMM2.0 program (KROGH et al. 2001; http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/), developed for the prediction of transmembrane helices (TMH) in proteins, to evaluate the consequences of the insertion/deletion polymorphisms associated with Dominant white and Dun. The program predicted the location of a TMH at the expected position in wild-type chicken PMEL17 with a posterior probability of 0.8. The insertion of WAP in the protein encoded by Dominant white reduced the probability to 0.6, most likely because the insertion of a proline residue may disturb the formation of a TMH. Furthermore, the Dun-associated deletion reduced the probability for the formation of a TMH even more, to a probability value <0.4. However, our in vitro experiments showed that the sequences encoded by both Dominant white and Dun could form TMHs. However, these insertion/deletion polymorphisms in and near the transmembrane segment may well affect PMEL17 function in other ways. It has been shown that PMEL17 is an integral membrane protein that is already present in stage I premelanosomes and that the cleavage and processing of this protein into an M α and M β subunit accompanies the restructuring of stage I melanosomes to stage II melanosomes with elongated fibrillar structures (KUSHIMOTO et al. 2001; BER-SON et al. 2003). Even after PMEL17 has been cleaved to two subunits, the protein remains covalently tethered to its transmembrane domain (BERSON et al. 2001). Thus, it is conceivable that the insertion/deletion polymorphisms associated with Dominant white and Dun lead to a failure in the proper integration of PMEL17 in the melanosomal membrane, which disrupts the normal formation of eumelanosomes. In fact, previous ultrastructural studies have shown that the eumelanosomes in melanocytes from I/i heterozygotes are scarce and disorganized (BRUMBAUGH 1971).

Very few *PMEL17* mutations with phenotypic effects have been described so far in comparison with the large number of functionally important mutations described for other major coat-color loci like Albino/TYR, Agouti, and Extension/MC1R. In fact, only one mutation (silver) has been described so far in the mouse (http://www.infor matics.jax.org/) and no human PMEL17 mutation causing pigmentation disorders has yet been reported but it has been proposed as a likely candidate gene for some cases of human oculocutaneous albinism (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/dispomim.cgi?id=155550; December 2003). However, on the basis of the phenotypic effects in chicken and the established role for PMEL17 in the development of eumelanosomes but not of phaeomelanosomes, we postulate that this locus may be one of the loci causing red hair in humans. It is intriguing that Dominant white and Dun in chicken and *silver* in mouse are all insertion/deletions affecting the transmembrane or cytoplasmic region. No mutation with a phenotypic effect, except Smoky, has been found in the part of the protein forming the Ma subunit.

Furthermore, no mutation causing a total loss of the PMEL17 protein has yet been found in any species despite the fact that such a mutation is expected to give an obvious pigmentation phenotype. This implies that such mutations may be lethal. PMEL17 may have a hitherto unknown crucial function outside the melanocytes. The absence of melanogenesis does not have a severe effect on survival as well documented by the viability of albino mutants in a variety of species. The suggestion that PMEL17 may have an important role in nonpigment cells gains some support by the fact that human EST sequences for PMEL17 have been obtained from a variety of tissues, although the majority of them are from melanoma cells (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene). The rare occurrence of PMEL17 mutations suggests that the chicken mutations described here should be useful for structural/functional studies of this protein.

An exciting topic for future studies will be to unravel how the four-amino-acid deletion associated with *Smoky* can partly rescue the defect caused by the WAP insertion in *Dominant white*. PMEL17 forms fibers after it has been cleaved by furin into an M α and M β fragment. This process appears to be essential for the normal development of eumelanosomes. A reasonable interpretation therefore is that the insertion of the WAP amino acid triplet disrupts the process but it is partially restored by the *Smoky* deletion. This would resemble the transsuppression of transthyretin misfolding in composite heterozygotes that protects from the development of amyloid disease in humans (HAMMARSTROM *et al.* 2001).

The segregation analysis of PMEL17 alleles and plumage color in our F_2 generation showed that Dominant white is required but not sufficient for the expression of the completely white phenotype. Homozygosity for Dominant white increased the incidence of white color but other loci contributed as well. At least three other major loci segregate in this cross: Extended black/MC1R, Silver, and Barred (KERJE et al. 2003b). We have previously shown that homozygosity for the Extended black (MC1R*E92K) allele increases the chance to develop a purely white phenotype, which may appear counterintuitive. However, it makes sense in light of the action of the Dominant white/PMEL17 mutation since the Extended black allele shifts melanin production toward eumelanogenesis, which in turn is severely inhibited by the effect of *Dominant white*. This is consistent with the fact that MC1R acts upstream of PMEL17 in the melanogenesis. Another major locus affecting plumage color in this intercross is the sex-linked Silver locus (not homologous to silver in the mouse!) where the dominant S allele inherited from the White Leghorn inhibits the expression of red pheomelanin. The causative gene for Silver has not yet been identified.

We thank Ulla Gustavsson for excellent assistance with the sequencing. The study was funded by The Foundation for Strategic Environmental Research, the Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning, Wallenberg Consortium North, and the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research.

LITERATURE CITED

- BATESON, W., 1902 Experiments with poultry. Rep. Evol. Commun. Roy. Soc. 1: 87–124.
- BERSON, J. F., D. C. HARPER, D. TENZA, G. RAPOSO and M. S. MARKS, 2001 Pmell7 initiates premelanosome morphogenesis within multivesicular bodies. Mol. Biol. Cell 12: 3451–3464.
- BERSON, J. F., A. C. THEOS, D. C. HARPER, D. TENZA, G. RAPOSO *et al.*, 2003 Proprotein convertase cleavage liberates a fibrillogenic fragment of a resident glycoprotein to initiate melanosome biogenesis. J. Cell Biol. **161**: 521–533.
- BRUMBAUGH, J. A., 1971 The ultrastructural effects of the I and S loci upon black-red melanin differentiation in the fowl. Dev. Biol. 24: 392–412.
- DU, J., A. MILLER, H. R. WIDLUND, M. A HORSTMANN, S. RAMASWAMY et al., 2003 MLANA/MART1 and SILV/PMEL17/GP100 are transcriptionally regulated by MITF in melanocytes and melanoma. Am. J. Pathol. 163: 333–343.
- DUNNINGTON, E. A., and P. B. SIEGEL, 1996 Long-term divergent selection for eight-week body weight in White Plymouth rock chickens. Poultry Sci. 75: 1168–1179.
- GREEN, P., K. FALLS and S. CROOK, 1990 Documentation for CRIMAP, Version 2.4. Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis.
- HAMMARSTROM, P., F. SCHNEIDER and J. W. KELLY, 2001 Transsuppression of misfolding in an amyloid disease. Science 293: 2459–2462.
- HESSA, T., M. MONNÉ and G. VON HEIJNE, 2003 Stop-transfer efficiency of marginally hydrophobic segments depends on the length of the C-terminal tail. EMBO Rep. 4: 178–183.
- HURST, C. C., 1905 Experiments with poultry. Rep. Evol. Commun. Roy. Soc. 2: 131–154.
- JOHANSSON, M., I. M. NILSSON and G. VON HEIJNE, 1993 Positively charged amino acids placed next to a signal sequence block protein translocation more efficiently in *Escherichia coli* than in mammalian microsomes. Mol. Gen. Genet. 239: 251–256.
- KERJE, S., Ö. CARLBORG, L. JACOBSSON, K. SCHÜTZ, C. HARTMANN *et al.*, 2003a The twofold difference in adult size between the red jungle fowl and White Leghorn chickens is largely explained by a limited number of QTLs. Anim. Genet. **34:** 264–274.
- KERJE, S., J. LIND, K. SCHUTZ, P. JENSEN and L. ANDERSSON, 2003b Melanocortin 1-receptor (*MCIR*) mutations are associated with plumage color in the chicken. Anim. Genet. 34: 241–248.
- KOBAYASHI, T., W. D. VIEIRA, B. POTTERF, C. SAKAI, G. IMOKAWA *et al.*, 1995 Modulation of melanogenic protein expression during the switch from eu- to pheomelanogenesis. J. Cell Sci. **108**: 2301–2309.
- KROGH, A., B. LARSSON, G. VON HEIJNE and E. L. L. SONNHAMMER, 2001 Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J. Mol. Biol. 305: 567–580.
- KUSHIMOTO, T., V. BASRUR, J. VALENCIA, J. MATSUNAGA, W. D. VIEIRA et al., 2001 A model for melanosome biogenesis based on the purification and analysis of early melanosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 10698–10703.
- MARTINEZ-ESPARZA, M., C. JIMENEZ-CERVANTES, D. C. BENNETT, J. A. LOZANO, F. SOLANO *et al.*, 1999 The mouse *silver* locus encodes a single transcript truncated by the silver mutation. Mamm. Genome **10**: 1168–1171.
- MOCHII, M., K. AGATA and G. EGUCHI, 1991 Complete sequence and expression of a cDNA encoding a chicken 115-kDa melanosomal matrix protein. Pigment Cell Res. 4: 41–47.
- QUEVEDO, W., C. R. D. FLEISCHMANN and J. DYCKMAN, 1981 Premature loss of melanocytes from hair follicles (*B^k*) of light and silver (*si*) mice, pp. 177–183 in *Pigment Cell: Phenotypic Expression in Pigment*, edited by M. SEIJI. Tokyo University Press, Tokyo.
- RUYTER-SPIRA, C. P., Z. L. GU, J. J. VAN DER POEL and M. A. M. GROENEN, 1996 Bulk segregant analysis using microsatellite: mapping the Dominant White locus in the chicken. Poultry Sci. 76: 386–391.
- SääF, A., E. WALLIN and G. VON HEIJNE, 1998 Stop-transfer function of pseudo-random amino acid segments during translocation across prokaryotic and eukaryotic membranes. Eur. J. Biochem. 251: 821–829.
- SCHMID, M., I. NANDA, M. GUTTENBACH, C. STEINLEIN, H. HOEHN *et al.*, 2000 First report on chicken genes and chromosomes 2000. Cytogenet. Cell Genet. **90**: 169–218.

SILVERS, W. K., 1979 The Coat Colors of Mice: A Model for Mammalian Gene Action and Interaction. Springer-Verlag, New York.

- SMYTH, J. R., 1990 Genetics of plumage, skin and pigmentation in chickens, pp 109–167 in *Poultry Breeding and Genetics*, edited by R. D. CRAWFORD. Elsevier Science, New York.
- SOLANO, F., M. MARTINEZ-ESPERANZA, C. JIMENEZ-CERVANTES, S. P. HILL, J. A. LOZANO *et al.*, 2000 New insight on the structure of

the mouse *silver* locus and on the function of the *silver* protein. Pigment Cell Res. **13:** 118–124.

ZIEHL, M. A., and W. F. HOLLANDER, 1987 DUN, a new plumagecolor mutant at the I-locus in the fowl (*Gallus gallus*). Iowa State J. Res. 62: 337–342.

Communicating editor: C. HALEY