

SciVerse ScienceDirect

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Dynamic regulation of *Polycomb* group activity during plant development

Marian Bemer and Ueli Grossniklaus

Polycomb group (PcG) complexes play important roles in phase transitions and cell fate determination in plants and animals, by epigenetically repressing sets of genes that promote either proliferation or differentiation. The continuous differentiation of new organs in plants, such as leaves or flowers, requires a highly dynamic PcG function, which can be induced, modulated, or repressed when necessary. In this review, we discuss the recent advance in understanding PcG function in plants and focus on the diverse molecular mechanisms that have been described to regulate and counteract PcG activity in *Arabidopsis*.

Address

Institute of Plant Biology & Zürich-Basel Plant Science Centre, University of Zürich, Zollikerstrasse 107, CH-8008 Zürich, Switzerland

Corresponding author: Bemer, Marian (marian.bemer@botinst.uzh.ch)

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2012, 15:xx-yy

This review comes from a themed issue on $\ensuremath{\textbf{Cell}}$ signaling and gene regulation

Edited by Jerzy Paszkowski and Robert A. Martienssen

1369-5266/\$ – see front matter, \odot 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2012.09.006

Introduction

Polycomb group (PcG) proteins are major regulators of gene expression in both plants and animals. The highly conserved and well-characterized Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) represses gene expression in an epigenetic manner by catalyzing the trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 27 (H3K27me3). Both in plants and animals, the complex consists of four core members, which together are sufficient to generate the H3K27me3 mark, associated with repressive chromatin, in vitro [1]. The Drosophila PRC2 complex contains the core subunits Enhancer of zeste [E(z)], a histone methyltransferase, Suppressor of zeste 12 [Su(z)12], a Zinc finger protein, and the WD40 domain proteins Extra sex combs (Esc) and Nucleosome remodeling factor 55 (Nurf55). While in Drosophila all but one subunit are encoded by a single gene [1,2], most of the Arabidopsis PRC2 core subunits are encoded by small gene families. MEDEA (MEA), CURLYLEAF (CLF) and SWIN-GER (SWN) are homologs of E(z), FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT SEED2 (FIS2), VERNALIZATION2 (VRN2) and *EMBRYONIC FLOWER2* (*EMF2*) are homologs of Su(z)12, while *MULTICOPY SUPPRESSOR OF IRA1-5* (*MSI1-5*) are the five homologs of *Nurf55*. In contrast to *Drosophila*, where *Esc* and *Esc-like* share this function [2], the *Esc* homolog *FERTILIZATION INDE-PENDENT ENDOSPERM* (*FIE*) is single copy in the *Arabidopsis* genome [1,3,4]. PRC2 complexes of distinct flavor can be formed by combining these different subunits. The complexes EMF-PRC2, VRN-PRC2 and FIS-PRC2 have been confirmed *in planta* and have both overlapping and independent functions [5].

A second PcG complex, which only to some extent is conserved in plants and animals, is the *Polycomb* Repressive Complex 1 (PRC1). The core *Drosophila* PRC1 complex consists of the proteins Polycomb (Pc), Posterior sex combs (Psc), Polyhomeotic (Ph) and dRING1, and binds to the H3K27me3 histone mark generated by PRC2 [6]. PRC1 catalyses the monoubiquitination of histone H2A at lysine 119 (H2AK119ub), thereby compacting the chromatin further and stabilizing the repressed state [7]. Recently, RING-finger homologs able to catalyze H2AK119 monoubiquitination have been identified in plants, as well as other proteins with a PRC1-like function [4,8[•]]. The function of the PRC1-like proteins in plants is further discussed below.

In both the animal and plant kingdoms, PcG complexes play important roles in phase transitions during development, cell fate determination and cellular differentiation, by repressing sets of genes that regulate either proliferation or differentiation. In contrast to animals, where the entire body plan is formed during embryogenesis, plants differentiate organs, such as leaves, flowers or lateral roots, throughout their life span and maintain the ability to initiate new pools of stem cells. This requires highly dynamic PcG function, and the ability to induce, modulate, or repress PcG in response to developmental or environmental signals. In this review, we discuss the recent advance in our understanding of PcG function in plants and focus on the various molecular mechanisms that have been found to regulate and counteract PcG activity in Arabidopsis.

PRC2 plays a role in cell fate transitions throughout plant development

The three PRC2 complexes in *Arabidopsis*, FIS-PRC2, EMF-PRC2 and VRN-PRC2, play important roles during plant development. The first complex identified, FIS-PRC2, consisting of MEA, FIS2, FIE and MSI1, has a

www.sciencedirect.com

2 Cell signaling and gene regulation

specific role in female gametophyte and seed development. While FIE and MSI1 are broadly expressed and serve as subunits of all three PRC2 complexes, MEA and FIS2 are exclusively maternally expressed in the female gametophyte and developing seed. FIS-PRC2 prevents endosperm formation in the absence of fertilization and represses endosperm and embryo proliferation after fertilization [3,9–12]. EMF-PRC2, consisting of SWN/CLF, EMF2, FIE and MSI1, and VRN-PRC2, containing SWN/CLF, VRN2, FIE and MSI1, both control aspects of sporophytic development. While EMF-PRC2 represses important floral regulators, such as FLOWER-ING LOCUS T (FT), AGAMOUS (AG) and APETALA3 (AP3), the VRN-PRC2 complex promotes flowering after vernalization by silencing FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) [13,14]. However, EMF2 and VRN2 also have redundant functions, and the emf2 vrn2 double mutant, like the clf swn double mutant, produces mainly undifferentiated cells [13], indicating that both PRC2 complexes have a major role in ensuring differentiation and repressing stem cell genes.

Indeed, recent publications disclosed additional functions for PRC2 complexes in the promotion of cellular differentiation. Detailed analyses of *clf* primary roots showed that these are larger than in the wild type. This appeared to be caused by the upregulation of the meristem identity genes WUSCHEL RELATED HOMEO-BOX5 (WOX5), AGAMOUS-LIKE21 (AGL21) and AGL42 in clf mutants, resulting in increased meristem activity [15]. A different study uncovered the importance of CLF for floral meristem determinacy. To terminate the floral meristem, the stem cell maintenance gene WUSCHEL (WUS) becomes repressed at flower stage 6 by AG. In the ag mutant, WUS expression is prolonged, resulting in an increased meristem size and additional whirls with floral organs. The clf mutation enhanced this phenotype, indicating that CLF is important for a timely termination of the floral meristem. Both in ag and clf/swn mutants, H3K27me3 levels were reduced at the WUS locus and AG and CLF were found to act in the same genetic pathway. AG probably plays an active role in recruiting the PcG complex to the WUS locus, since H3K27me3 levels increase throughout the WUS locus 2 hours after induction of a glucocorticoid-inducible 35S:AG-GR transgene in the ag mutant background [16].

While EMF-PRC2 and VRN-PRC2 are required for normal sporophytic development, the FIS-PRC2 complex plays a role in female gametophyte and seed development. Seed development requires the coordinated development of the two fertilization products, embryo and endosperm, with the sporophytic integuments that develop into the seed coat. Interestingly, the interplay between the maternally derived integuments and the female gametophyte was found to require the action of both EMF/VRN-PRC2 and FIS-PRC2 upon fertilization [17^{••}]. Seed coat development from the integuments occurs in *msi1* and *fie* seeds that develop in the absence of fertilization, but not in autonomous *mea* and *fis2* seeds. However, their development could be induced in autonomous *mea* and *fis2* seeds by introducing these mutations into the *vrn2*, *emf2* or *swn* mutant backgrounds, indicating that seed coat development is actively repressed by the sporophytic EMF-PRC2 and VRN-PRC2 complexes before fertilization. Release of this repression depends on the sexually produced endosperm, and the MADS box gene *AGL62*, which is itself repressed by FIS-PRC2 during seed development [17^{••}, 18, 19].

Mutants of the *fis*-class are maternal effect embryo lethal and homozygous mutants cannot be obtained. This complicates the functional analysis of FIE and MSI1, which are part of several PRC2 complexes. However, in a recent report, this problem could be circumvented by fertilizing *fie*/*FIE* plants with pollen deficient for *FIE* and *CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE1;A* [20]. Homozygous *fie* mutant seeds, like those of *clf swn* double mutants [13,20,21], showed delayed germination and displayed a progressive loss of cell differentiation after germination, eventually resulting in callus growth. A similar de-differentiation phenotype had previously been described for a weak *fie* allele [13,20,21]. These data show that PRC2 also plays an essential role in the embryo-to-seedling phase transition.

H3K27me3 deposition in the *Arabidopsis* genome is abundant and dynamic

The increasing use of high-throughput techniques over the past decade has contributed significantly to the understanding of the importance of H3K27me3 for gene repression in Arabidopsis. About 17% of the Arabidopsis genes were reported to be marked with H3K27me3, and these marks were, unlike in animals, largely restricted to individual genes [22]. This percentage was found to increase to 28% if both meristematic and differentiated tissues were taken into account [23]. A distinct proportion of H3K27me3 target genes was also found to be specific for either the shoot apical meristem, differentiated leaf cells, roots, or the endosperm [23–25], showing that the H3K27me3 deposition is dynamic. However, all these studies used the H3K27me3 antibody instead of PRC2subunit-specific antibodies to identify PRC2 targets. The specificity of this antibody has been questioned, since it was found to cross-react also with H3K27me1 and, to a lesser extent, with H3K27me2 [20]. In addition, it is not clear whether CLF, SWN and MEA are the only methvltransferases that can deposit H3K27me3 marks. Nonetheless, more than 75% of the genes lost their H3K27me3 mark in the *fie* mutant, indicating that the majority of the loci identified with an H3K27me3 antibody is indeed a target of one of the PRC2 complexes [20].

The functional analyses of mutants affecting PRC2 and the high-throughput studies have unraveled the

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2012, 15:1–7

Please cite this article in press as: Bemer M, Grossniklaus U. Dynamic regulation of *Polycomb* group activity during plant development, Curr Opin Plant Biol (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.pbi.2012.09.006





General mechanisms regulating the degree of PRC2 repression. (a) The core PRC2 complex (either VRN-PRC2, EMF-PRC2 or FIS-PRC2) represses target gene expression, but often does not abolish it completely. (b) Co-factors enhance the efficiency of PRC2, resulting in complete loss of target gene expression. (c) PRC2 repression can be stabilized by PPRC1, which further compacts the chromatin through H2Aub. This possibly allows target gene inhibition over long developmental time periods in a specific cell lineage. The composition of the PPRC1 complex(es) is still unclear; the figure shows a putative complex consisting of LHP1, EMF1, and the RING-finger homologs AtRING1a and AtBMI1a. (d) PRC2 repression can be released or counteracted by the action of trxG proteins. The figure depicts the putative release of PCG repression through the trxG protein SYD or BRM, which may remove the H3K27me3 mark, and the subsequent action of the ATX1–ULT1 complex, which deposits the H3K4me3 mark.

important roles that PRC2 complexes have in the promotion of differentiation throughout plant development. To allow the PcG proteins to play such essential roles, it is important that their activity is dynamically and tightly regulated. In the following paragraphs, we will discuss the recent advances in understanding how PRC2 repression can be stabilized, counteracted, or enhanced throughout the plant (summarized in Figure 1) or in a cell-typespecific manner (summarized in Figure 2).

PRC2 repression can be stabilized by PRC1-like complexes

In animals, the PRC1 complex is required to stabilize the silenced state of H3K27me3 marked loci through the monoubiquitination of H2A [1,6,26]. The existence of a similar PRC1 complex in plants is disputed, since only homologs of the RING-finger proteins RING1A/1B and Psc/BMI have been identified in *Arabidopsis*. However, double mutants for these homologs, *Atring1a*/*Atring1a* and *Atbmi1a*/1b, all displayed phenotypes similar to those in PRC2 mutants, and upregulation of genes marked by H3K27me3 [8°,27]. In addition, AtBMI1A/1B and the fifth RING-finger homolog, AtBMI1C, were shown to mediate H2A monoubiquitination *in planta* [8°,28]. Whether

AtBMI1C, which was reported to be imprinted in the endosperm, also plays a role in H3K27me3 stabilization has not yet been elucidated [29]. The Arabidopsis RINGfinger homologs have been shown to interact with each other, with the chromodomain protein LIKE HETERO-CHROMATIN PROTEIN1 (LHP1), and the plantspecific protein EMF1. *lhp1* and *emf1* mutants show very early flowering, similar to emf2 mutants, and an increased expression of H3K27me3 marked genes without loss of the H3K27me3 mark [30,31,32[•]]. In conclusion, plants also possess a PCR1-like complex (Plant PRC1 or PPRC1), which contains both plant-specific subunits and homologs of animal PRC1 proteins. Only a subset of PRC2 targets seems to be stabilized by PPRC1 however, and AG for example, is not upregulated in the Atring1a/Atring1a or Atbmi1a/1b double mutant [8,33]. Likewise, in Drosophila, H2A monoubiquitination is only required for the repression of a subset of PRC2 target genes [7].

PcG and *trithorax* group proteins function antagonistically

Both in animals and plants, there are proteins that can counteract PcG action to release genes from H3K27me3-mediated repression. These PcG antagonists

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2012, 15:1-7

Please cite this article in press as: Bemer M, Grossniklaus U. Dynamic regulation of *Polycomb* group activity during plant development, Curr Opin Plant Biol (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.pbi.2012.09.006

4 Cell signaling and gene regulation





Cell-type specific regulation of PRC2 repression. Temporal and spatial control of PRC2 repression is achieved through association of the core PRC2 complex with cell-type specific co-factors. These co-factors can either recruit PRC2 to target loci or enhance the activity of PRC2 in a cell-type specific manner. The figure summarizes the current knowledge about co-factors that play a role in H3K27me3 deposition in different cell-types. Single proteins or protein complexes that were reported to associate with PRC2 are depicted as colored boxes or circles. Uncertain interactions are indicated with a question mark. The black box illustrates a PRC2 target gene.

are collectively referred to as trithorax group (trxG) proteins [4]. The first trxG protein identified in Arabidopsis, the histone methyltransferase ATX1, can trimethylate histone 3 at lysine 4 (H3K4me3) similar to its animal homologs. ATX1 is required to activate the floral homeotic genes that are repressed by CLF, probably in the context of EMF-PRC2 [34,35] and, together with ATX2, to activate the floral repressor FLC, a target of VRN-PRC2 [36]. Recently, it was revealed that ATX1 plays two distinct roles in transcriptional activation. First, ATX1 recruits the TATA binding protein (TBP) and RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) to the target gene promoter. Subsequently, ATX1 is recruited by a phosphorylated form of Pol II to the transcribed region, where it places the H3K4me3 marks, associated with active chromatin [37]. The SAND-domain DNA binding protein ULTRAPETALA1 (ULT1) was identified as a second trxG protein in Arabidopsis. Like ATX1, ULT1 activates the floral homeotic genes, and the *ult1* mutant can completely rescue the *clf* phenotype. It is probable that ULT1 acts in a complex with ATX1, because H3K4me3 deposition on the AG locus is also affected in the *ult1* mutant and ULT1 and ATX1 can interact in vitro [38].

The chromatin remodeling CHD3 protein PICKLE (PKL) was found as another important antagonist of PcG function. The *pkl* mutant could partly suppress the *clf* phenotype, and PKL affects H3K27me3 deposition. Interestingly, PKL and its homolog PICKLE RELATED2 (PKR2) were also found to be responsible

for PcG activation in the roots, resulting in an indirect derepression of PcG targets, such as the embryonic regulators LEAFY COTYLEDONS1 (LEC1) and FUSCA3 (FUS3), in pkl pkr2 roots [39]. However, a recent study reports H3K27me3 reduction in *pkl pkr2* germinating seeds without altered PcG gene expression, suggesting that PKL can also directly promote H3K27me3 mediated repression [40]. Thus, the role of PKL in the activation or repression of H3K27me3 marked genes might depend on the specific developmental or cellular context and its exact function will have to be elucidated in future studies. Recently, two other proteins with trxG activity have been identified. The SWI2/SNF2 chromatin remodeling ATPases SPLAYED (SYD) and BRAHMA (BRM) are recruited by LEAFY (LFY) and SEPALLATA 3 (SEP3) to the regulatory regions of AP3 and AG, where they activate their expression at the right stage of flower development. This activation is accompanied by a reduction in H3K27me3 and an increase in H3K4me3. SYD and BRM possibly eject one or more nucleosomes to remove H3K27me3, and allow ATX1 and ULT1 access to the chromatin to deposit H3K4me3 [41]. Thus, trxG proteins promote the activation of PRC2 target genes in a stagespecific and cell type-specific manner.

The efficiency and specificity of PRC2 depends on higher order complex formation

In animals, the core PRC2 complex has only limited enzymatic activity *in vivo* and associates with various

Please cite this article in press as: Bemer M, Grossniklaus U. Dynamic regulation of *Polycomb* group activity during plant development, Curr Opin Plant Biol (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.pbi.2012.09.006 other factors that enhance the activity of the complex. The association of these factors can be transient or tissuespecific, thus allowing a dynamic increase or decrease in PRC2 activity [1]. There is increasing evidence that plant PRC2s depend in a similar way on the association with other proteins. Two recent reports reveal the significance of the CUL4-DDB1 E3 ubiquitin ligase complex for PRC2 activity in Arabidopsis [42**,43**]. CUL4-DDB1 was found to physically associate with the PRC2 subunit MSI1 to regulate the deposition of H3K27me3 in the female gametophyte and seed, where a lack of CUL4 activity leads to loss of imprinting at the MEA locus that is, partly, regulated by PRC2 [42**]. In addition, CUL4-DDB1 was reported to associate with PRC2 via MSI4 to control the transition to flowering. Silencing of CUL4 induces early flowering and loss of H3K27me3 from both FLC and FT [43**]. However, loss of H3K27me3 in cul4 is not as drastic as in msi4, and a CUL4 knockdown has no global effect on H3K27me3 levels in Arabidopsis, suggesting that the association of CUL4-DDB1 with PRC2 is tissue-specific and not required for H3K27 trimethylation, but enhancing the efficiency of PRC2.

In addition to being required for PPRC1-catalyzed H2A ubiquitination, EMF1 was recently found to interact with MSI1 and to contribute to H3K27me3 deposition at a subset of PRC2 targets [32[•]]. Two groups of EMF1 targets were defined based on their dependency on EMF1 for H3K27 trimethylation. Group I genes required EMF1 for the deposition of H3K27me3, indicating that EMF1 acts before or as a member of PRC2 at these loci. Group II genes were marked with H3K27me3, but did not depend on EMF1 for this mark, suggesting that the repression of these genes is regulated via the PPRC1function of EMF1. It is not yet clear how EMF1 participates in each PcG complex. A few other factors have been proposed to associate with PRC2 and to affect the catalysis of H3K27me3. These include AtUBP26, which probably deubiquitinates H2B at certain PRC2 target loci in the seed to enable trimethylation of H3K27 [44], and the plant-specific protein BLISTER (BLI), which interacts with CLF and represses a subset of PcG target genes [45].

However, the best-studied higher order complex is the PHD-PRC2 complex, required for the efficient and specific expression of FLC upon vernalization. A recent review comprehensively describes the coordinated silencing of FLC by PcG proteins [46], and we therefore discuss it only briefly here. The H3K27me3 mark is constitutively present at the FLC locus and does not increase upon vernalization. Instead, the increased repression of FLC depends on the PHD proteins VIN3, which is induced after prolonged cold, and VRN5, which only associates with PRC2 after cold. Only the complete PHD-PRC2 complex can silence FLC in an efficient and stable way [47].

Recruitment of PRC2 to specific target loci

To induce silencing of genes in particular cell-types only, PcG complexes have been found to be recruited to certain target loci by tissue-specific proteins or long noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs). The role of the latter in recruiting PRC1 has first been reported for X-chromosome inactivation in the mouse [48], but several long ncRNAs have subsequently been identified in mammals and Drosophila to also recruit PRC2 in cis or trans [1,49,50]. An interaction between PRC2 and ncRNAs has also been postulated in plants [51], but only recently were such RNAs identified at a PRC2 target gene, possibly recruiting PRC2 to the FLC locus (reviewed in [46]). Expression of the long noncoding RNAs COOLAIR [52] and COLDAIR [53] was found to correlate with FLC silencing during vernalization, and COLDAIR was reported to physically associate with CLF. However, it was recently shown that COOLAIR is not essential for the vernalization-induced silencing of FLC [54[•]]. Moreover, COLDAIR transcripts are difficult to detect and FLC transgenes without the COLDAIR promoter still respond to cold [55], such that the functional relationship between these ncRNAs and FLC silencing is unclear. Whether long ncRNAs are important for PRC2 recruitment in plants therefore still awaits confirmation. The identification of specific PRC2 recruitment proteins in Arabidopsis is so far restricted to AG, which was found to recruit PRC2 specifically in flower stage 6 to the WUS locus, thus repressing WUS and terminating the floral meristem (see above [16]). However, in animals, a number of recruitment proteins have been identified for different targets [26], and more are probably to be found in plants as well.

Conclusion

It is evident that PcG proteins play essential roles in phase transitions, cell fate determination and differentiation. A dynamic regulation of PcG activity is crucial for plant development, which is reflected by the many different mechanisms that evolved to secure a tight spatial and temporal control of PRC2 activity. The majority of these mechanisms appear to depend on interacting or counteracting proteins, although strict control of PcG gene expression, as reported for MEA [56], or post-translational cell-specific degradation of PRC2 subunits, as reported for CLF [57], also contribute to PRC2 specificity. In addition, activation of PRC2 target genes probably largely depends on cell type-specific activators, since only a fraction of PRC2 targets became upregulated in *fie* mutant seedlings [20]. Although the function of PRC2 in the regulation of cell proliferation and differentiation is conserved throughout plants [58], fine-tuning is probably achieved through the various mechanisms described above, which may be lineage-specific. The recent development of an efficient targeted technique to isolate protein complexes in plants may accelerate the identification of PRC2-associated co-factors in the future [59].

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2012, 15:1-7

Please cite this article in press as: Bemer M, Grossniklaus U. Dynamic regulation of *Polycomb* group activity during plant development, Curr Opin Plant Biol (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.pbi.2012.09.006

Acknowledgements

Work on the function and regulation of PcG proteins in our laboratory is supported by the University of Zürich, grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation and the European Research Council (to U.G.), and a long-term postdoctoral fellowship from EMBO (to M.B.).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- 1. Margueron R, Reinberg D: The *Polycomb* complex PRC2 and its mark in life. *Nature* 2011, **469**:343-349.
- Wang L, Jahren N, Vargas ML, Andersen EF, Benes J, Zhang J, Miller EL, Jones RS, Simon JA: Alternative ESC and ESC-Like subunits of a *Polycomb* group histone methyltransferase complex are differentially deployed during *Drosophila* development. *Mol Cell Biol* 2006, 26:2637-2647.
- Pien S, Grossniklaus U: *Polycomb* group and *trithorax* group proteins in Arabidopsis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2007, 1769:375-382.
- Köhler C, Hennig L: Regulation of cell identity by plant Polycomb and trithorax group proteins. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2010, 20:541-547.
- Makarevich G, Leroy O, Akinci U, Schubert D, Clarenz O, Goodrich J, Grossniklaus U, Kohler C: Different *Polycomb* group complexes regulate common target genes in *Arabidopsis*. *EMBO Rep* 2006, 7:947-952.
- 6. Levine SS, King IFG, Kingston RE: **Division of labor in** *Polycomb* group repression. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2004, **29**:478-485.
- Gutiérrez L, Oktaba K, Scheuermann JC, Gambetta MC, Ly-Hartig N, Müller J: The role of the histone H2A ubiquitinase Sce in *Polycomb* repression. *Development* 2012, 139:117-127.
- 8. Bratzel F, López-Torrejón G, Koch M, Del Pozo JC, Calonje M:
- Keeping cell identity in Arabidopsis requires PRC1 RINGfinger homologs that catalyze H2A monoubiquitination. Curr Biol 2010, 20:1853-1859.

The authors show that the BMI homologs AtBMI1A and AtBMI1B are necessary for the repression of embryonic and stem cell traits in *Arabidopsis*. Their data demonstrate that AtBMI1A/B are able to catalyze H2A monoubiquitination in *Arabidopsis*, similar to the BMI-containing PRC1 complex in animals, suggesting that this PRC1-like complex may stabilize PRC2 repression in plants as well.

- Grossniklaus U, Vielle-Calzada JP, Hoeppner MA, Gagliano WB: Maternal control of embryogenesis by *MEDEA*, a *Polycomb* group gene in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 1998, 280:446-450.
- Köhler C, Hennig L, Bouveret R, Gheyselinck J, Grossniklaus U, Gruissem W: *Arabidopsis* MSI1 is a component of the MEA/FIE *Polycomb* group complex and required for seed development. *EMBO J* 2003, 22:4804-4814.
- Ohad N, Yadegari R, Margossian L, Hannon M, Michaeli D, Harada JJ, Goldberg RB, Fischer RL: Mutations in *FIE*, a WD *Polycomb* group gene, allow endosperm development without fertilization. *Plant Cell* 1999, 11:407-416.
- Luo M, Bilodeau P, Koltunow A, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ, Chaudhury AM: Genes controlling fertilization-independent seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96:296-301.
- Chanvivattana Y, Bishopp A, Schubert D, Stock C, Moon Y-H, Sung ZR, Goodrich J: Interaction of *Polycomb* group proteins controlling flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 2004, 131:5263-5276.
- Gendall AR, Levy YY, Wilson A, Dean C: The VERNALIZATION2 gene mediates the epigenetic regulation of vernalization in Arabidopsis. Cell 2001, 107:525-535.
- 15. Aichinger E, Villar CBR, Di Mambro R, Sabatini S, Köhler C: The CHD3 chromatin remodeler PICKLE and *Polycomb* group

proteins antagonistically regulate meristem activity in the *Arabidopsis* root. *Plant Cell* 2011, **23**:1047-1060.

- Liu X, Kim YJ, Müller R, Yumul RE, Liu C, Pan Y, Cao X, Goodrich J, Chen X: AGAMOUS terminates floral stem cell maintenance in *Arabidopsis* by directly repressing *WUSCHEL* through recruitment of *Polycomb* group proteins. *Plant Cell* 2011, 23:3654-3670.
- Roszak P, Köhler C: *Polycomb* group proteins are required to
 couple seed coat initiation to fertilization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 2011, 108:20826-20831.

This study reveals a link between gametophytic and sporophytic development that is epigenetically regulated. Seed coat development was found to be actively repressed before fertilization by the sporophytic EMF-PRC2 and VRN-PRC2 complexes. Release of this repression depends on a signal from the sexually produced endosperm, and the expression of the MADS box gene *AGL62* in the endosperm.

- Walia H, Josefsson C, Dilkes B, Kirkbride R, Harada J, Comai L: Dosage-dependent deregulation of an AGAMOUS-LIKE gene cluster contributes to interspecific incompatibility. *Curr Biol* 2009, 19:1128-1132.
- Hehenberger E, Kradolfer D, Köhler C: Endosperm cellularization defines an important developmental transition for embryo development. *Development* 2012, 139:2031-2039.
- 20. Bouyer D, Roudier F, Heese M, Andersen ED, Gey D, Nowack MK, Goodrich J, Renou J-P, Grini PE, Colot V *et al.*: **Polycomb repressive complex 2 controls the embryo-to-seedling phase transition**. *PLoS Genet* 2011, **7**:e1002014.
- Kinoshita T, Harada JJ, Goldberg RB, Fischer RL: *Polycomb* repression of flowering during early plant development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001, 98:14156-14161.
- Zhang X, Clarenz O, Cokus S, Bernatavichute YV, Pellegrini M, Goodrich J, Jacobsen SE: Whole-genome analysis of histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation in *Arabidopsis*. PLoS Biol 2007, 5:e129.
- Lafos M, Kroll P, Hohenstatt ML, Thorpe FL, Clarenz O, Schubert D: Dynamic regulation of H3K27 trimethylation during Arabidopsis differentiation. PLoS Genet 2011, 7:e1002040.
- Weinhofer I, Hehenberger E, Roszak P, Hennig L, Köhler C: H3K27me3 profiling of the endosperm implies exclusion of *Polycomb* group protein targeting by DNA methylation. *PLoS Genet* 2010, 6:e1001152.
- Roudier F, Ahmed I, Berard C, Sarazin A, Mary-Huard T, Cortijo S, Bouyer D, Caillieux E, Duvernois-Berthet E, Al-Shikhley L *et al.*: Integrative epigenomic mapping defines four main chromatin states in *Arabidopsis*. *EMBO J* 2011, **30**:1928-1938.
- 26. Schuettengruber B, Cavalli G: Recruitment of *Polycomb* group complexes and their role in the dynamic regulation of cell fate choice. *Development* 2009, **136**:3531-3542.
- 27. Chen D, Molitor A, Liu C, Shen W-H: The *Arabidopsis* PRC1-like ring-finger proteins are necessary for repression of embryonic traits during vegetative growth. *Cell Res* 2010, **20**:1332-1344.
- Li W, Wang Z, Li J, Yang H, Cui S, Wang X, Ma L: Overexpression of AtBMI1C, a Polycomb group protein gene, accelerates flowering in Arabidopsis. PLoS ONE 2011, 6:e21364.
- 29. Bratzel F, Yang C, Angelova A, López-Torrejón G, Koch M, del Pozo JC, Calonje M: **Regulation of the new** *Arabidopsis* **imprinted gene** *AtBMI1C* **requires the interplay of different epigenetic mechanisms**. *Mol Plant* 2012, **5**:260-269.
- Turck F, Roudier F, Farrona S, Martin-Magniette M-L, Guillaume E, Buisine N, Gagnot S, Martienssen RA, Coupland G, Colot V: *Arabidopsis* TFL2/LHP1 specifically associates with genes marked by trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27. *PLoS Genet* 2007, 3:e86.
- Gaudin V, Libault M, Pouteau S, Juul T, Zhao G, Lefebvre D, Grandjean O: Mutations in LIKE HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1 affect flowering time and plant architecture in *Arabidopsis*. *Development* 2001, 128:4847-4858.
- 32. Kim SY, Lee J, Eshed-Williams L, Zilberman D, Sung ZR: EMF1
 and PRC2 cooperate to repress key regulators of Arabidopsis development. PLoS Genet 2012, 8:e1002512.

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2012, 15:1–7

www.sciencedirect.com

Please cite this article in press as: Bemer M, Grossniklaus U. Dynamic regulation of *Polycomb* group activity during plant development, Curr Opin Plant Biol (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.pbi.2012.09.006

In this work, the function of EMF1 is dissected into a PRC2-like and a PRC1-like function. Transcriptome analysis combined with H3K27me3 profiling resulted in the identification of a group of EMF1 targets that were dependent on EMF1 for H3K27me3, and a group of targets that was marked with H3K27me3, but did not depend on EMF1 for this mark. The data suggest that EMF1 plays a dual role in H3K27me3 mediated repression of target genes.

- Xu L, Shen W-H: Polycomb silencing of KNOX genes confines 33. shoot stem cell niches in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol 2008, 18:1966-1971.
- 34. Alvarez-Venegas R, Pien S, Sadder M, Witmer X, Grossniklaus U, Avramova Z: ATX1, an Arabidopsis homolog of Trithorax, activates flower homeotic genes. Curr Biol 2003, 13:627-637.
- 35. Saleh A, Al-Abdallat A, Ndamukong I, Alvarez-Venegas R Avramova Z: The Arabidopsis homologs of trithorax (ATX1) and Enhancer of zeste (CLF) establish 'bivalent chromatin marks' at the silent AGAMOUS locus. Nucleic Acids Res 2007, 35:6290-6296.
- Pien S, Fleury D, Mylne JS, Crevillen P, Inzé D, Avramova Z. 36. Dean C, Grossniklaus U: ARABIDOPSIS TRITHORAX1 dynamically regulates FLOWERING LOCUS C activation via histone 3 lysine 4 trimethylation. Plant Cell 2008, 20:580-588.
- 37. Ding Y, Avramova Z, Fromm M: Two distinct roles of ARABIDOPSIS HOMOLOG OF TRITHORAX1 (ATX1) at promoters and within transcribed regions of ATX1-regulated genes. Plant Cell 2011, 23:350-363.
- Carles CC, Fletcher JC: The SAND domain protein 38. ULTRAPETALA1 acts as a trithorax group factor to regulate cell fate in plants. Genes Dev 2009, 23:2723-2728.
- 39. Aichinger E, Villar CBR, Farrona S, Reves JC, Hennig L, Köhler C: CHD3 proteins and *Polycomb* group proteins antagonistically determine cell identity in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Genet* 2009, 5:e1000605
- Zhang H, Bishop B, Ringenberg W, Muir WM, Ogas J: The CHD3 40. Remodeler PICKLE associates with genes enriched for trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 27. Plant Physiol 2012, 159:418-432
- 41. Wu M-F, Sang Y, Bezhani S, Yamaguchi N, Han S-K, Li Z, Su Y, Slewinski TL, Wagner D: SWI2/SNF2 chromatin remodeling ATPases overcome Polycomb repression and control floral organ identity with the LEAFY and SEPALLATA3 transcription factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012, 109:3576-3581.
- 42. Dumbliauskas E, Lechner E, Jaciubek M, Berr A,
 Pazhouhandeh M, Alioua M, Cognat V, Brukhin V, Koncz C, Grossniklaus U *et al.*: The Arabidopsis CUL4-DDB1 complex interacts with MSI1 and is required to maintain MEDEA parental imprinting. EMBO J 2011, 30:731-743.

This work provides the first evidence of a physical and functional link between a CRL4 E3 ligase and a PRC2 complex. The CUL4-DDB1 complex interacts with MSI1, and the cul4 mutant exhibits, like the fis-class mutants, autonomous endosperm initiation and loss of parental imprinting of MEA. This indicates that CUL4-DDB1 associates with FIS-PRC2 to regulate H3K27me3-mediated repression of target genes in the seed

- 43.
- Pazhouhandeh M, Molinier J, Berr A, Genschik P: MSI4/FVE interacts with CUL4-DDB1 and a PRC2-like complex to control •• epigenetic regulation of flowering time in *Arabidopsis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011, **108**:3430-3435.

The authors show that the interaction between MSI4 and CUL4-DDB1 is necessary for the epigenetic repression of FLC. Lack of the PRC2 component MSI4 or decreased CUL4 activity induces early flowering and loss of H3K27me3 from both *FLC* and *FT*. This study provides additional evidence (see 42) for a functional interaction between the cullin-RING ubiquitin ligase and the PRC2 complexes.

Luo M, Luo M-Z, Buzas D, Finnegan J, Helliwell C, Dennis ES Peacock WJ, Chaudhury A: UBIQUITIN-SPECIFIC PROTEASE26 is required for seed development and the repression of PHERES1 in Arabidopsis. Genetics 2008, 180:229-236.

- 45. Schatlowski N, Stahl Y, Hohenstatt ML, Goodrich J, Schubert D: The CURLY LEAF interacting protein BLISTER controls expression of Polycomb group target genes and cellular differentiation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 2010, 22:2291-2305.
- 46. Kim D-H, Sung S: Environmentally coordinated epigenetic silencing of *FLC* by protein and long noncoding RNA components. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2012, 15:51-56.
- 47. De Lucia F, Crevillen P, Jones AME, Greb T, Dean C: A PHD-Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 triggers the epigenetic silencing of FLC during vernalization. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008, 105:16831-16836.
- Schoeftner S, Sengupta AK, Kubicek S, Mechtler K, Spahn L, Koseki H, Jenuwein T, Wutz A: Recruitment of PRC1 function at 48 the initiation of X inactivation independent of PRC2 and silencing. EMBO J 2006, 25:3110-3122.
- Plath K, Fang J, Mlynarczyk-Evans SK, Cao R, Worringer KA, Wang H, de la Cruz CC, Otte AP, Panning B, Zhang Y: **Role of** 49 histone H3 lysine 27 methylation in X inactivation. Science 2003. 300:131-135
- 50. Tsai M-C, Manor O, Wan Y, Mosammaparast N, Wang JK, Lan F, Shi Y, Segal E, Chang HY: Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. *Science* 2010, 329:689-693.
- 51. Steimer A, Schöb H, Grossniklaus U: Epigenetic control of plant development: new layers of complexity. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2004. 7:11-19.
- Swiezewski S, Liu F, Magusin A, Dean C: Cold-induced silencing 52. by long antisense transcripts of an Arabidopsis Polycomb target. Nature 2009, 462:799-802.
- 53. Heo JB, Sung S: Vernalization-mediated epigenetic silencing by a long intronic noncoding RNA. Science 2011, 331.76-79
- 54. Helliwell CA, Robertson M, Finnegan EJ, Buzas DM, Dennis ES: Vernalization-repression of Arabidopsis requires promoter sequences but not antisense transcripts. PLoS ONE 2011, 6:e21513.

This is a nice genetic study based on a set of insertions in the 3' region of the FLC locus that interfere with transcription of the antisense long ncRNA COOLAIR. An analysis of the various transcripts and H3K27me3 levels at the FLC locus in these mutants showed that the initial silencing of FLC does not require antisense transcription.

- Sheldon CC, Conn AB, Dennis ES, Peacock WJ: Different 55. regulatory regions are required for the vernalization-induced repression of FLOWERING LOCUS C and for the epigenetic maintenance of repression. Plant Cell 2002, 14:2527-2537.
- 56. Baroux C, Gagliardini V, Page DR, Grossniklaus U: Dynamic regulatory interactions of Polycomb group genes: MEDEA autoregulation is required for imprinted gene expression in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev 2006, 20:1081-1086.
- Jeong CW, Roh H, Dang TV, Choi YD, Fischer RL, Lee JS, Choi Y: An E3 ligase complex regulates SET-domain Polycomb group protein activity in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011, **108**:8036-8041.
- Mosquna A, Katz A, Decker EL, Rensing SA, Reski R, Ohad N: 58. Regulation of stem cell maintenance by the Polycomb protein FIE has been conserved during land plant evolution. Development 2009, 136:2433-2444.
- 59. Smaczniak C, Immink RGH, Muiño JM, Blanvillain R, Busscher M, Busscher-Lange J, Dinh QD, Liu S, Westphal AH, Boeren S et al.: Characterization of MADS-domain transcription factor complexes in Arabidopsis flower development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012, 109:1560-1565.

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2012, 15:1-7

Please cite this article in press as: Bemer M, Grossniklaus U. Dynamic regulation of *Polycomb* group activity during plant development, Curr Opin Plant Biol (2012), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.pbi.2012.09.006